## **DOCKET 1141**

DATE OF HEARING June 3, 2013

NAME Glen Aspaugh Co.

DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY 9808 Clayton Road

CAUSE FOR APPEAL Relief from the decision of the Building Official for a

front entry which violates Section V, C, (1), (a) and

(b) of Zoning Ordinance 1175.

RULING OF THE BOARD After a discussion of the facts presented, the Board

reversed the decision of the Building Official based on practical difficulties and approved the variance per the site plan dated May 9, 2013, with the condition that three parking spots in front of the

entry be designated for "compact cars only".

## MINUTES OF MEETING ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT Monday, June 3, 2013

## DOCKET 1141 9808 Clayton Road

A meeting of the Zoning Board of Adjustment was held at 4:00 p.m. on Monday, June 3, 2013, at City Hall.

The following members of the board were present:

Mr. Stanley Walch, Chairman

Ms. Liza Forshaw

Mr. David Schafly

Mr. Fred Goebel

Mr. John Shillington

Also present were: Mayor Nancy Spewak; Mr. Michael Wooldridge, Assistant to the Mayor / City Clerk; Mr. Michael Gartenberg, Building Official; Mr. John Maupin, City Attorney.

Chairman Walch called the meeting to order. Notice of Public Hearing, as follows:

#### NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CITY OF LADUE, MISSOURI DOCKET NUMBER 1141

Notice is hereby given that the Zoning Board of Adjustment of the City of Ladue, St. Louis County, Missouri, will hold a public hearing on a petition submitted by Glen Aspaugh Co., St. Louis, MO 63124, requesting relief from the ruling of the Deputy Building Commissioner who declined to issue a permit for a front entry which violates Sections V, C, (1) (a) & (b) of Zoning Ordinance 1175.

The hearing will be held at 4:00 p.m. on Monday, June 3, 2013, at the City Hall, 9345 Clayton Road.

The hearing will be public and anyone interested in the proceedings will be given the opportunity to be heard.

Pursuant to Section 610.022 RSMo., the Zoning Board of Adjustment could vote to close the public meeting and move to executive session to discuss matters relating to litigation, legal actions and/or communications from the City Attorney as provided under section 610.021 (1) RSMo.

Stanley Walch, Chairman Zoning Board of Adjustment

(Transcript attached as part of the minutes)

Stanley Walch, Chairman

1						
2						
3	ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT					
4	CITY OF LADUE					
5	LADUE, MISSOURI					
6						
7						
8						
9						
10	IN THE MATTER OF: )					
11	)					
12	GLEN ALSPAUGH COMPANY ) Docket NO. 1141					
13	9808 CLAYTON ROAD )					
14	LADUE, MISSOURI 63124 )					
15						
16	Monday, June 3, 2013					
17						
18	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~					
19						
20	BOBBIE LUBER, LLC					
21	P.O. Box 31201 ~ 1015 Grupp Road ~ St. Louis, MO 63131					
22	314.993.0911					
23						
24	CERTIFIED COPY					
25						

		1	APPEARANCES;		
		2			
	1	3	COUNCIL MEMBERS:		
	2	4	Mr. Stanley Walch, Chairman		
v =	ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT	5	Mr. Fred Goebel		
	4 CITY OF LADUE	6	Ms. Liza Forshaw		
	5 LADUE, MISSOURI	7	Mr. David Schlafly		
	5 anoth, stational	8	Mr. John Shillington		
	7	9			
ľ	,	10	Also Present:		
	9	11	Mr. Michael Wooldridge, City Clerk		
	10 IN THE MATTER OF: )	12	Mr. Michael W. Gartenberg, Deputy Building		
	11 )	13	Inspector		
	12 GLEN ALSPAUGH COMPANY ) Docket NO. 1141	14	Mr. John King, Attorney for Appellant		
	13 9808 CLAYTON ROAD )	15	Mr. David Pape		
	14 LADUE, MISSOURI 63124 )	16	Mr. Tom Bourgeois		
	15	17			
	16 Monday, June 3, 2013	18			
	17	19	Court Reporter:		
	18		Bobbie L. Luber		
	19	20	Registered Professional Reporter #9209		
	20 BOBBIE LUBER, LLC		Missouri CCR #621		
	21 P.O. Box 31201 - 1015 Grupp Road ~ St. Louis, MO 63131	21	Illinois CSR #084.004673		
	22 <b>314.993.0911</b>		Bobbie Luber, LLC		
	23	22	P.O. Box 31201		
ľ	24		St. Louis, MO 63131		
	25	23	(314) 993-0911		
	ī	24			
		25	3		
	ZONIALO DOADD OF ADJUSTMENT	4			
ľ.	ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT	1	(The Meeting of the Zoning Board of		
2	CITY OF LADUE	2	Adjustment of the City of Ladue was previously called		
3	LADUE, MISSOURI	3	to order at 4:00 p.m.)		
4		4	CHAIRMAN WALCH: The next case is Docket		
5		5	Number 1141. It's the Glen Alspaugh Company, 9808		
6		6	Clayton Road. They are requesting relief from the		
7		7	Deputy Building Commissioner, who declined to issue a		
8	IN THE MATTER OF: )	8	permit for a front entry, which violates Section V, C,		
9	)	9	(1)(a), (b) of Ordinance 1175.		
	CLEN ALCDALICH COMPANY \ Decircle No. 1144	-			
10	GLEN ALSPAUGH COMPANY ) Docket No. 1141	10 11	Mr. Gartenberg, will you explain the reason		
11	,		or reasons these plans were disapproved so the members		
12	LADUE, MISSOURI 63124 )	12	of the board understand the issues?		
13		13	MR. GARTENBERG: Yes, sir. The proposed		
14		14	improvements to the front elevation on the side,		
15	BE IT REMEMBERED that on the 3rd day of	15	including an element to the front entry which extends		
16	June, 2013, hearing was held before the Zoning Board	16	approximately nine feet into the required front yard.		
17					
18	City Hall, 9345 Clayton Road, in the City of Ladue	17 18	site plan showing it bulging out sort of.		
19	State of Missouri 63124, regarding the above-entitled	19	MR. GARTENBERG: I'm sorry. I didn't hear		
20	matter before Bobbie L. Luber, Certified Court	20	you.		
21	Reporter, Registered Professional Reporter, a Notary	21	CHAIRMAN WALCH: It looks like on the		
	Public within and for the State of Missouri, and the	22	drawing that this area in here do you need to look		
23	following proceedings were had.	23	at it closer?		
	following proceedings were flad.				
24	Tollowing proceedings were flau.	24	MR. GARTENBERG: I will come around. Here		
24 25	Tollowing proceedings were flau.				

4

2

the highway department condemned it, or in some way over the front door. worked out and took the additional ten feet of the 2 CHAIRMAN WALCH: Right. And is that a 2 3 right of way, which then put the building in violation violation of the ordinance because it has got tiles? 3 of the setback. That, or the City let them do it back MR. GARTENBERG: Well, correct. If that 4 4 at that time. I don't think that happened. 5 portion was cantilevered out, we would consider it to I think what happened was, what you see in still be placed at this line. a lot of instances on major roadways in St. Louis, the CHAIRMAN WALCH: Thank you. Now, I will 7 8 highway department comes in and takes a dedicated 8 put in the exhibits to go with this appeal. First is right-of-way, and then your building becomes in Exhibit B, which is the public notice of this hearing. 9 9 10 violation. The next is the denial letter from Mr. Gartenberg, 10 11 So I will let Mr. Pape, who is the dated May 6th, 2013, and that's Exhibit C. Exhibit D 11 12 architect, explain the changes that they would like to is the list of the residents to whom the notice of 12 make and the portico, I guess you could call it, that 13 13 public hearing was mailed. is located here in front. David? 14 The appellant's letter requesting a 14 15 MR. GARTENBERG: Before you do that, 15 variance, was dated May 9th, 2013. And any other 16 Mr. Chairman, can I offer a little information letters of support or opposition to the request that 17 concerning that? were received here at the City of Ladue, will be 17 18 CHAIRMAN WALCH: Certainly. marked as Exhibit E. Are there any other letters, 18 MR. GARTENBERG: The application -- the Mr. Wooldridge? 19 19 review of the application will only address changed 20 20 MR. WOOLDRIDGE: No, there is not. conditions. They are certainly in compliance with 21 CHAIRMAN WALCH: Thank you. Finally, the 21 current zoning standards that exist on this property. 22 22 entire file pertaining to the application, including 23 Mr. King has mentioned the setbacks, which is true, 23 any memorandum of the staff and consultants of the Zoning Board of Adjustment from the City of Ladue, 24 the parking configuration is not necessarily in 24 accordance with our standards. We are reviewing the 25 25 will be marked as Exhibit F. 7 proposed changes to the property and the increased And I believe with that -- formalities out degree of nonconformity, not to identify existing of the way, if the appellant would come forward and be 2 3 conditions which will not change. 3 sworn. 4 I just want to be sure that the board is 4 (At this time the participants were sworn 5 aware of the fact that we are not saying it at all. in by the court reporter.) 5 6 MR. KING: Mr. Chairman and members of the All their aspects of the property are in conformity. 7 Our focus is on the proposed project and the increased 7 board, again, my name is John king. I'm an attorney. 8 nonconformities which will result from that. I'm here this evening representing the Glen Alspaugh 8 9 building that's located over on Clayton Road. This CHAIRMAN WALCH: Well, I think that's 9 10 understood by the board, and I think that's true in building was built in the early 1950s, and at that 10 time, it had the same frontage, the same situation 11 most every case we are dealing with nonconformity 11 12 12 issues. there in the 50's. 13 MR. KING: I agree with that. 13 With me is Tom Alspaugh, Senior, who is the 14 CHAIRMAN WALCH: Thank you for that too. owner of the company, and David Pape, who is the 14 15 architect. Really there is another violation that All right. 16 Mr. Pape, would you please proceed. exists here today. This building today is over the 16 building line slightly. So it is over -- we have to 17 MR. PAPE: What is the little difference in 17 18 distance you are talking about, Mr. King, when you say 18 have a variance no matter what we were putting out 19 there is a little discrepancy? Because I looked at 19 there today, because there is a violation today. 20 this, 39 foot, 10 -- 39 foot, 10 and three-quarter 20 And that violation was caused because if you will note on the exhibit up here -- if you will 21 inches for a 40-foot setback. Is that what you are 21 22 talking about?

23

24

25

note on this exhibit right here, this is Clayton Road.

And this is the right-of-way dedication right along

here. At one time, there was another ten feet that

belonged to the people that owned this building. And

23

24

25

MR. KING: That's what I was talking about.

MR. KING: It's just that when David came

MR. GOEBEL: Is there something else?

8

shop, the barber shop comes out even further. And as to see me, he said the building is over the building 2 you go up Ladue Road -- Clayton Road -- there is still 2 line. quite a few. All the shopping centers have colonnade 3 MR. GOEBEL: It's just that bit. 3 that come out as well. It's kind of like we are this 4 4 MR. PAPE: It's not straight across. It's recessed area that sits back and just kind of becomes three inches on one side, and an inch or so on the 5 complacent, and you drive right past it. 6 other side. 7 But the attempt to do this to try to find 7 CHAIRMAN WALCH: We are not concerned with 8 any solution, anything was going to take a variance; 8 that. 9 9 MR, KING: I just wanted to let you know this overhang or this roof of some sort, which is that that was there. 10 already encroaching this development. 10 11 CHAIRMAN WALCH: Is it two buildings or 11 MR. PAPE: That was right at the building 12 one? 12 line, that's the face of the building. The overhang 13 MR. PAPE: There is one -- there is really 13 that is existing right now is four feet over that 14 line. That in there creates a problem. My job was to 14 two buildings. This starts right here with the dress shops. But this is the Glen Alspaugh building from 15 find a new facade, a new image for this building, to 15 here to here owned by the same person. So the roof is 16 get the 1950ish feeling out of the building and to 16 being changed, somewhat married together. No changes 17 17 bring it a little more up-to-date. At the time, we 18 other than a new roof on the other. were already planning on doing a new roof system 18 because of the hail damage. It's a metal roof. So we 19 MR. GOEBEL: Are you re-cladding the 19 20 20 existing frame of the overhang on the roof that we see were thinking about using a slate roof. We were 21 on that elevation, or are you reframing it? 21 trying to do a softer image. We looked at many MR. PAPE: This is just taking the metal 22 different options, end gables and all kinds of things. 22 roof off and putting a composite slate roof. No 23 23 The idea was, every building along here has a 24 structural changes. 24 colonnade of some sort that kind of draws you in. If 25 MR. SHILLINGTON: What's the possibility of 25 you put columns on that, it virtually would be about 11 having it cantilevered and not having the columns? three feet wide, and so it's kind of built pretty MR. PAPE: I wouldn't get underneath it. I 2 strange. It's not really a welcoming entrance or a 2 3 don't think it would look very appealing at all. It 3 walkway of any sort. 4 So what we decided to do was put all of our 4 would be virtually a 10-foot cantilever, which at that 5 height -- if you were up higher, yeah, I could see 5 eggs in one basket. Instead of trying to get an 6 doing that. But there is just not enough of anything 6 additional building, we thought, why not go for one 7 entrance, one covered entrance in one spot. And in 7 up here to make it aesthetic, and physically, I think 8 it would be really tough. 8 doing so, trying to create a new image for that, as 9 9 well as a way to create more three-dimensional. You MR. GOEBEL: I notice by doing that, you 10 are pulling those middle three cars back, what, five 10 can drive right past this building and never notice 11 feet? 11 it. With this big, huge sign up here it's not enough. 12 MR. PAPE: That's correct. 12 You can miss it quite a bit. One reason why, it's set 13 back further than most buildings. 13 MR. GOEBEL: I'm a little concerned when 14 14 you do that, you have got 190 feet, or maybe 210 feet, Schnarr's Hardware, right here, comes out of curb cut directly onto Clayton Road. And there is another 13 feet past the building. So it kind of 15 15 16 no in; there is no out; there is no traffic control 16 blocks you. So that's what we are trying to do. We where anybody is backing up, much like some of the tried to find a way to come out with a new entrance 17 17 18 that would be inviting and be the minimal amount of 18 other properties. Is there any thought to improving 19 19 that situation? Because when you pull the parking what we would do and create it without coming out too 20 20 far, not interrupting the parking. That's where we back five feet like that and just three cars, it has 21 21 to hurt some visibility for parking. end up with nine feet off the building, which is 22

Page 9 to 12 of 29

23

24

I guess I'm a little concerned about

whether any thought has been given to your making --

what looks like you are making a pretty substantive improvement to the building. I wonder if cleaning up

12

23

24

25

virtually about five feet further than the overhang.

line, Schnarr's is still coming way out past us, about

four feet. If you go all the way down to the barber

10

If you look at the other buildings in the

parking spaces back a few feet, but we have a 210-foot the entrance there to Clayton Road would be important. curb cut for our retail property that clearly doesn't 2 2 And the fact that you are pulling it back five feet, 3 need 210 feet of curb cut. But there again, I'm 3 seems to me to aggravate that situation, in terms of wondering whether that's a concern for you, you care traffic coming off of Clayton Road from the property about that, because it's a challenge up and down 5 and traffic going back onto there. Has that been Clayton Road. And Mr. Pape just said it. Your discussed at all? 7 property has the greatest depth of all of these. And 7 MR. PAPE: Yes. We did look at what we so if it is possible, it would be your property that could do with the parking itself. The reality, we 8 8 9 would be most likely to benefit from some more 9 have one of the deeper parking lots anywhere along effective traffic control or ingress/egress, and I was 10 10 here. wondering if that was a concern for you. 11 11 MR. GOEBEL: Exactly. 12 MR. BOURGEOIS: As David mentioned, we do 12 MR. PAPE: So we have more room to pull have a concern with being able to get trucks in and back and pull out of each with what we are doing. I 13 13 out. We don't -- you know, we have some 14 would, architecturally, love to see a strip of green 14 15 tractor-trailer deliveries that go into that back 15 through here. Which was part of the city 16 parking lot. proposition -- you might know. Was it 20 years ago, 16 MR. GOEBEL: In the back of your building? something like, that they tried to propose doing a 17 17 18 MR. BOURGEOIS: Yes. One of the big green strip along this? It was brought with a lot of 18 opposition, because this -- this is a big parking 19 things, though, is Schnarr's Hardware, they have a 19 dock that's located on the west side of the building. 20 lot -- semis turn around. And it's the only place on 20 All of their trucks need to actually pull onto our 21 21 Clayton where they can do it. MR. GOEBEL: Do you want them to do that? parking lot in order to back up to the dock. 22 22 23 23 MR. GOEBEL: What about the curb cut? MR. PAPE: Well, actually, it kind of helps Glen Alspaugh too, because they do have large trucks, 24 MR. BOURGEOIS: I'm not sure I understand. 24 MR. GOEBEL: Commercial driveway entrances, not semis. But getting in this alley to get behind 25 25 15 what -- maybe you have to help me here -- 30 feet or the buildings, it's pretty difficult even for a small 40 feet or 20 feet? What is the commercial entrance 2 2 truck to make that turn through here. 3 for roadways? MR. GOEBEL: I was a little confused by 4 MR. GARTENBERG: 30, 36 feet, something 4 that. I see a 25-foot street and 20 vacated alleys with a planter right in the middle. How is that 5 like that. 5 6 6 supposed to work? MR. KING: 32. 7 MR. GOEBEL: Generally, that's considered 7 MR. PAPE: That's part of the other 8 wide enough to accomplish the type of thing that you property. It's just from a legal survey, they pulled 8 9 are talking about, along with the traffic study to 9 that out. That happened years ago. 10 figure out -- I mean, generally, we don't just keep 10 MR. GOEBEL: So the street is --11 MR. PAPE: The street is right there. 11 making parking lots bigger and bigger. We try to 12 MR. WOOLDRIDGE: That alley goes back to 12 figure out which way traffic comes in and goes out, so 13 it's not just for semis to use, but people pulling off 13 LaBarge property. 14 Clayton Road know where to anticipate people coming 14 MR. GOEBEL: But it all looks like one 15 into the property. 15 street? 16 MR. PAPE: Yes. That's correct. 16 MR. PAPE: May I point out here is the curb 17 MR. GOEBEL: Are the owners concerned about 17 way out here. This is not their property. 18 MR. GOEBEL: I understand that. 18 this at all? 19 MR. PAPE: No control over it. 19 MR. PAPE: The owners are in favor of 20 MR. GOEBEL: But if you weren't using 20 keeping it. 21 MR. GOEBEL: Could we ask them to comment 21 that -- you may not have control of it. If you about that? 22 weren't using that to back up, you couldn't even back 23 your car out of those sparking spaces. We are just 23 (Whereupon Mr. Bourgeois was duly sworn.) talking about what makes it safe here. And you are 24 MR. GOEBEL: I guess the question was 24 25 asking for a five-foot impairment of that. You are having to do with the traffic with pulling those 16 14

		T			
1	saying you are going to reduce that by five more feet.	1	2013 now. It's time to address that as part of an		
2	So why would that	2	overall improvement along that front. I wouldn't be		
3	MR. PAPE: No. This is an easement. We	3	averse to a variance to improve that if you can see		
4	can drive on that easement. We can't physically	4	your way clear to find your way to address that		
	change that easement. Okay. I can't go in there with	5			
10	a jackhammer and take that curb out and build it up.	6	than having uncontrolled access. Thank you very much.		
7	I can't do that.	7	You answered my question.		
8	MR. GOEBEL: But you are asking us to let	8	CHAIRMAN WALCH: Mr. King, do you want to		
9	you back into it five more feet. To repeat it by five	9	say something?		
10	more feet, right? That's the variance.	10	<b>0</b> MR. KING: No. I want to give you this		
11	MR. PAPE: Everybody does right now. It's	11	1 letter as two people in favor.		
12	a curb cut.	12	CHAIRMAN WALCH: Do you want this marked as		
13	MR. GOEBEL: We are going to make a	13	an exhibit?		
14	difficult situation worse, is my point.	14	MR. KING: Yes, please.		
15	MR. KING: Let me ask you, could it be that	15	CHAIRMAN WALCH: I think it's G.		
16	if you had a curb cut at either end, would that be	16	Any other member of the board have any		
17	what you are driving at?	17	questions of the appellant?		
18	MR. GOEBEL: That could be exactly the	18	Hearing none, I will ask if any member of		
19	solution, John. You know, you would have to get	19	the audience wishes to speak to this application for a		
20	somebody that is going to look at the traffic to	20	variance.		
21	figure out how to accomplish that. I'm not opposed to	21	Hearing none, unless some member of the		
22	the canopy. I like the canopy. It's great. It's	22	board objects, I will declare the public comment		
23	wonderful we are doing improvements. That's a super	23	portion of this meeting closed, and we will go into		
24	thing. I just don't want to aggravate a difficult	24	session and try to arrive at a decision.		
25	situation.	25	MS. FORSHAW: The letter of support is		
۱.	17		19		
ĭ ×	MR. BOURGEOIS: I think really the only	1	from?		
2	area that really becomes an issue when you start	2	COURT REPORTER: Schnarr's.		
3	area that really becomes an issue when you start talking about that, is just right basically at this	3	MR. SCHLAFLY: I have a question. Was		
3 4	talking about that, is just right basically at this corner.	3 4	MR. SCHLAFLY: I have a question. Was there some consideration to changing the sign? There		
3 4 5	talking about that, is just right basically at this corner.  MR. PAPE: Right here.	3 4 5	MR. SCHLAFLY: I have a question. Was there some consideration to changing the sign? There is a beautiful facade and a rather old dreary-looking,		
3 4	talking about that, is just right basically at this corner.  MR. PAPE: Right here.  MR. BOURGEOIS: Okay. Now this is	3 4 5 6	MR. SCHLAFLY: I have a question. Was there some consideration to changing the sign? There is a beautiful facade and a rather old dreary-looking, laminate plastic, partly rusting sign.		
3 4 5 6 7	talking about that, is just right basically at this corner.  MR. PAPE: Right here.  MR. BOURGEOIS: Okay. Now this is Schnarr's is here and they have	3 4 5 6 7	MR. SCHLAFLY: I have a question. Was there some consideration to changing the sign? There is a beautiful facade and a rather old dreary-looking, laminate plastic, partly rusting sign.  MR. PAPE: We plan on redoing the sign. We		
3 4 5 6	talking about that, is just right basically at this corner.  MR. PAPE: Right here.  MR. BOURGEOIS: Okay. Now this is  Schnarr's is here and they have  MR. PAPE: Do you mean tree?	3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. SCHLAFLY: I have a question. Was there some consideration to changing the sign? There is a beautiful facade and a rather old dreary-looking, laminate plastic, partly rusting sign.  MR. PAPE: We plan on redoing the sign. We would like to end up with a low-key sign down here.		
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	talking about that, is just right basically at this corner.  MR. PAPE: Right here.  MR. BOURGEOIS: Okay. Now this is  Schnarr's is here and they have  MR. PAPE: Do you mean tree?  MR. BOURGEOIS: Okay. The little tree	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. SCHLAFLY: I have a question. Was there some consideration to changing the sign? There is a beautiful facade and a rather old dreary-looking, laminate plastic, partly rusting sign.  MR. PAPE: We plan on redoing the sign. We would like to end up with a low-key sign down here. But at this point, until we are sure that we can do		
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	talking about that, is just right basically at this corner.  MR. PAPE: Right here. MR. BOURGEOIS: Okay. Now this is Schnarr's is here and they have MR. PAPE: Do you mean tree? MR. BOURGEOIS: Okay. The little tree island would be right here. So the main concern would	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. SCHLAFLY: I have a question. Was there some consideration to changing the sign? There is a beautiful facade and a rather old dreary-looking, laminate plastic, partly rusting sign.  MR. PAPE: We plan on redoing the sign. We would like to end up with a low-key sign down here. But at this point, until we are sure that we can do what we want to do, we don't want to get rid of that		
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	talking about that, is just right basically at this corner.  MR. PAPE: Right here.  MR. BOURGEOIS: Okay. Now this is  Schnarr's is here and they have  MR. PAPE: Do you mean tree?  MR. BOURGEOIS: Okay. The little tree island would be right here. So the main concern would be this area right here. That's the main concern.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. SCHLAFLY: I have a question. Was there some consideration to changing the sign? There is a beautiful facade and a rather old dreary-looking, laminate plastic, partly rusting sign.  MR. PAPE: We plan on redoing the sign. We would like to end up with a low-key sign down here. But at this point, until we are sure that we can do what we want to do, we don't want to get rid of that sign. Otherwise, we are out of luck.		
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	talking about that, is just right basically at this corner.  MR. PAPE: Right here. MR. BOURGEOIS: Okay. Now this is Schnarr's is here and they have MR. PAPE: Do you mean tree? MR. BOURGEOIS: Okay. The little tree island would be right here. So the main concern would be this area right here. That's the main concern. That's where the trucks actually, you know, come in	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. SCHLAFLY: I have a question. Was there some consideration to changing the sign? There is a beautiful facade and a rather old dreary-looking, laminate plastic, partly rusting sign.  MR. PAPE: We plan on redoing the sign. We would like to end up with a low-key sign down here. But at this point, until we are sure that we can do what we want to do, we don't want to get rid of that sign. Otherwise, we are out of luck.  MR. KING: We get rid of the sign for the		
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	talking about that, is just right basically at this corner.  MR. PAPE: Right here. MR. BOURGEOIS: Okay. Now this is Schnarr's is here and they have MR. PAPE: Do you mean tree? MR. BOURGEOIS: Okay. The little tree island would be right here. So the main concern would be this area right here. That's the main concern. That's where the trucks actually, you know, come in and go out. And even with Schnarr's Hardware, with	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. SCHLAFLY: I have a question. Was there some consideration to changing the sign? There is a beautiful facade and a rather old dreary-looking, laminate plastic, partly rusting sign.  MR. PAPE: We plan on redoing the sign. We would like to end up with a low-key sign down here. But at this point, until we are sure that we can do what we want to do, we don't want to get rid of that sign. Otherwise, we are out of luck.  MR. KING: We get rid of the sign for the variance?		
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	talking about that, is just right basically at this corner.  MR. PAPE: Right here. MR. BOURGEOIS: Okay. Now this is Schnarr's is here and they have MR. PAPE: Do you mean tree? MR. BOURGEOIS: Okay. The little tree island would be right here. So the main concern would be this area right here. That's the main concern. That's where the trucks actually, you know, come in and go out. And even with Schnarr's Hardware, with their little dock that they have located here, their	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. SCHLAFLY: I have a question. Was there some consideration to changing the sign? There is a beautiful facade and a rather old dreary-looking, laminate plastic, partly rusting sign.  MR. PAPE: We plan on redoing the sign. We would like to end up with a low-key sign down here. But at this point, until we are sure that we can do what we want to do, we don't want to get rid of that sign. Otherwise, we are out of luck.  MR. KING: We get rid of the sign for the variance?  MR. SCHLAFLY: No, placement. I understand		
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	talking about that, is just right basically at this corner.  MR. PAPE: Right here. MR. BOURGEOIS: Okay. Now this is Schnarr's is here and they have MR. PAPE: Do you mean tree? MR. BOURGEOIS: Okay. The little tree island would be right here. So the main concern would be this area right here. That's the main concern. That's where the trucks actually, you know, come in and go out. And even with Schnarr's Hardware, with their little dock that they have located here, their trucks pull in right here, and they back up to the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. SCHLAFLY: I have a question. Was there some consideration to changing the sign? There is a beautiful facade and a rather old dreary-looking, laminate plastic, partly rusting sign.  MR. PAPE: We plan on redoing the sign. We would like to end up with a low-key sign down here. But at this point, until we are sure that we can do what we want to do, we don't want to get rid of that sign. Otherwise, we are out of luck.  MR. KING: We get rid of the sign for the variance?  MR. SCHLAFLY: No, placement. I understand your need for a sign.		
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	talking about that, is just right basically at this corner.  MR. PAPE: Right here. MR. BOURGEOIS: Okay. Now this is Schnarr's is here and they have MR. PAPE: Do you mean tree? MR. BOURGEOIS: Okay. The little tree island would be right here. So the main concern would be this area right here. That's the main concern. That's where the trucks actually, you know, come in and go out. And even with Schnarr's Hardware, with their little dock that they have located here, their trucks pull in right here, and they back up to the dock.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. SCHLAFLY: I have a question. Was there some consideration to changing the sign? There is a beautiful facade and a rather old dreary-looking, laminate plastic, partly rusting sign.  MR. PAPE: We plan on redoing the sign. We would like to end up with a low-key sign down here. But at this point, until we are sure that we can do what we want to do, we don't want to get rid of that sign. Otherwise, we are out of luck.  MR. KING: We get rid of the sign for the variance?  MR. SCHLAFLY: No, placement. I understand your need for a sign.  MR. PAPE: It's grandfathered in. There is		
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	talking about that, is just right basically at this corner.  MR. PAPE: Right here. MR. BOURGEOIS: Okay. Now this is Schnarr's is here and they have MR. PAPE: Do you mean tree? MR. BOURGEOIS: Okay. The little tree island would be right here. So the main concern would be this area right here. That's the main concern. That's where the trucks actually, you know, come in and go out. And even with Schnarr's Hardware, with their little dock that they have located here, their trucks pull in right here, and they back up to the dock.  MR. GOEBEL: I know. I know just what you	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. SCHLAFLY: I have a question. Was there some consideration to changing the sign? There is a beautiful facade and a rather old dreary-looking, laminate plastic, partly rusting sign.  MR. PAPE: We plan on redoing the sign. We would like to end up with a low-key sign down here. But at this point, until we are sure that we can do what we want to do, we don't want to get rid of that sign. Otherwise, we are out of luck.  MR. KING: We get rid of the sign for the variance?  MR. SCHLAFLY: No, placement. I understand your need for a sign.  MR. PAPE: It's grandfathered in. There is no way we could ever get a sign that big. Not that we		
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	talking about that, is just right basically at this corner.  MR. PAPE: Right here. MR. BOURGEOIS: Okay. Now this is Schnarr's is here and they have MR. PAPE: Do you mean tree? MR. BOURGEOIS: Okay. The little tree island would be right here. So the main concern would be this area right here. That's the main concern. That's where the trucks actually, you know, come in and go out. And even with Schnarr's Hardware, with their little dock that they have located here, their trucks pull in right here, and they back up to the dock.  MR. GOEBEL: I know. I know just what you mean. Like you say, it's from the 1950s. Traffic	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. SCHLAFLY: I have a question. Was there some consideration to changing the sign? There is a beautiful facade and a rather old dreary-looking, laminate plastic, partly rusting sign.  MR. PAPE: We plan on redoing the sign. We would like to end up with a low-key sign down here. But at this point, until we are sure that we can do what we want to do, we don't want to get rid of that sign. Otherwise, we are out of luck.  MR. KING: We get rid of the sign for the variance?  MR. SCHLAFLY: No, placement. I understand your need for a sign.  MR. PAPE: It's grandfathered in. There is no way we could ever get a sign that big. Not that we would ever want it.		
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	talking about that, is just right basically at this corner.  MR. PAPE: Right here. MR. BOURGEOIS: Okay. Now this is Schnarr's is here and they have MR. PAPE: Do you mean tree? MR. BOURGEOIS: Okay. The little tree island would be right here. So the main concern would be this area right here. That's the main concern. That's where the trucks actually, you know, come in and go out. And even with Schnarr's Hardware, with their little dock that they have located here, their trucks pull in right here, and they back up to the dock.  MR. GOEBEL: I know. I know just what you mean. Like you say, it's from the 1950s. Traffic wasn't what it is today. Like I say, I like the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. SCHLAFLY: I have a question. Was there some consideration to changing the sign? There is a beautiful facade and a rather old dreary-looking, laminate plastic, partly rusting sign.  MR. PAPE: We plan on redoing the sign. We would like to end up with a low-key sign down here. But at this point, until we are sure that we can do what we want to do, we don't want to get rid of that sign. Otherwise, we are out of luck.  MR. KING: We get rid of the sign for the variance?  MR. SCHLAFLY: No, placement. I understand your need for a sign.  MR. PAPE: It's grandfathered in. There is no way we could ever get a sign that big. Not that we would ever want it.  CHAIRMAN WALCH: Is it bigger than the		
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	talking about that, is just right basically at this corner.  MR. PAPE: Right here. MR. BOURGEOIS: Okay. Now this is Schnarr's is here and they have MR. PAPE: Do you mean tree? MR. BOURGEOIS: Okay. The little tree island would be right here. So the main concern would be this area right here. That's the main concern. That's where the trucks actually, you know, come in and go out. And even with Schnarr's Hardware, with their little dock that they have located here, their trucks pull in right here, and they back up to the dock.  MR. GOEBEL: I know. I know just what you mean. Like you say, it's from the 1950s. Traffic wasn't what it is today. Like I say, I like the canopy. I like the idea of that. It's great that you	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. SCHLAFLY: I have a question. Was there some consideration to changing the sign? There is a beautiful facade and a rather old dreary-looking, laminate plastic, partly rusting sign.  MR. PAPE: We plan on redoing the sign. We would like to end up with a low-key sign down here. But at this point, until we are sure that we can do what we want to do, we don't want to get rid of that sign. Otherwise, we are out of luck.  MR. KING: We get rid of the sign for the variance?  MR. SCHLAFLY: No, placement. I understand your need for a sign.  MR. PAPE: It's grandfathered in. There is no way we could ever get a sign that big. Not that we would ever want it.  CHAIRMAN WALCH: Is it bigger than the present ordinance allows?		
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	talking about that, is just right basically at this corner.  MR. PAPE: Right here. MR. BOURGEOIS: Okay. Now this is Schnarr's is here and they have MR. PAPE: Do you mean tree? MR. BOURGEOIS: Okay. The little tree island would be right here. So the main concern would be this area right here. That's the main concern. That's where the trucks actually, you know, come in and go out. And even with Schnarr's Hardware, with their little dock that they have located here, their trucks pull in right here, and they back up to the dock.  MR. GOEBEL: I know. I know just what you mean. Like you say, it's from the 1950s. Traffic wasn't what it is today. Like I say, I like the canopy. I like the idea of that. It's great that you are going to that trouble to do it. Thank you very	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. SCHLAFLY: I have a question. Was there some consideration to changing the sign? There is a beautiful facade and a rather old dreary-looking, laminate plastic, partly rusting sign.  MR. PAPE: We plan on redoing the sign. We would like to end up with a low-key sign down here. But at this point, until we are sure that we can do what we want to do, we don't want to get rid of that sign. Otherwise, we are out of luck.  MR. KING: We get rid of the sign for the variance?  MR. SCHLAFLY: No, placement. I understand your need for a sign.  MR. PAPE: It's grandfathered in. There is no way we could ever get a sign that big. Not that we would ever want it.  CHAIRMAN WALCH: Is it bigger than the present ordinance allows?  MR. PAPE: I think every letter is. I		
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	talking about that, is just right basically at this corner.  MR. PAPE: Right here.  MR. BOURGEOIS: Okay. Now this is  Schnarr's is here and they have  MR. PAPE: Do you mean tree?  MR. BOURGEOIS: Okay. The little tree island would be right here. So the main concern would be this area right here. That's the main concern.  That's where the trucks actually, you know, come in and go out. And even with Schnarr's Hardware, with their little dock that they have located here, their trucks pull in right here, and they back up to the dock.  MR. GOEBEL: I know. I know just what you mean. Like you say, it's from the 1950s. Traffic wasn't what it is today. Like I say, I like the canopy. I like the idea of that. It's great that you are going to that trouble to do it. Thank you very much. If you can figure out some way to improve that	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. SCHLAFLY: I have a question. Was there some consideration to changing the sign? There is a beautiful facade and a rather old dreary-looking, laminate plastic, partly rusting sign.  MR. PAPE: We plan on redoing the sign. We would like to end up with a low-key sign down here. But at this point, until we are sure that we can do what we want to do, we don't want to get rid of that sign. Otherwise, we are out of luck.  MR. KING: We get rid of the sign for the variance?  MR. SCHLAFLY: No, placement. I understand your need for a sign.  MR. PAPE: It's grandfathered in. There is no way we could ever get a sign that big. Not that we would ever want it.  CHAIRMAN WALCH: Is it bigger than the present ordinance allows?  MR. PAPE: I think every letter is. I think it's as wide.		
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	talking about that, is just right basically at this corner.  MR. PAPE: Right here. MR. BOURGEOIS: Okay. Now this is Schnarr's is here and they have MR. PAPE: Do you mean tree? MR. BOURGEOIS: Okay. The little tree island would be right here. So the main concern would be this area right here. That's the main concern. That's where the trucks actually, you know, come in and go out. And even with Schnarr's Hardware, with their little dock that they have located here, their trucks pull in right here, and they back up to the dock.  MR. GOEBEL: I know. I know just what you mean. Like you say, it's from the 1950s. Traffic wasn't what it is today. Like I say, I like the canopy. I like the idea of that. It's great that you are going to that trouble to do it. Thank you very much. If you can figure out some way to improve that parking safety you know, to have 190 feet of open	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. SCHLAFLY: I have a question. Was there some consideration to changing the sign? There is a beautiful facade and a rather old dreary-looking, laminate plastic, partly rusting sign.  MR. PAPE: We plan on redoing the sign. We would like to end up with a low-key sign down here. But at this point, until we are sure that we can do what we want to do, we don't want to get rid of that sign. Otherwise, we are out of luck.  MR. KING: We get rid of the sign for the variance?  MR. SCHLAFLY: No, placement. I understand your need for a sign.  MR. PAPE: It's grandfathered in. There is no way we could ever get a sign that big. Not that we would ever want it.  CHAIRMAN WALCH: Is it bigger than the present ordinance allows?  MR. PAPE: I think every letter is. I think it's as wide.  MR. SCHLAFLY: There you go.		
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	talking about that, is just right basically at this corner.  MR. PAPE: Right here. MR. BOURGEOIS: Okay. Now this is Schnarr's is here and they have MR. PAPE: Do you mean tree? MR. BOURGEOIS: Okay. The little tree island would be right here. So the main concern would be this area right here. That's the main concern. That's where the trucks actually, you know, come in and go out. And even with Schnarr's Hardware, with their little dock that they have located here, their trucks pull in right here, and they back up to the dock.  MR. GOEBEL: I know. I know just what you mean. Like you say, it's from the 1950s. Traffic wasn't what it is today. Like I say, I like the canopy. I like the idea of that. It's great that you are going to that trouble to do it. Thank you very much. If you can figure out some way to improve that parking safety you know, to have 190 feet of open frontage on Clayton Road, is just again, it might	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. SCHLAFLY: I have a question. Was there some consideration to changing the sign? There is a beautiful facade and a rather old dreary-looking, laminate plastic, partly rusting sign.  MR. PAPE: We plan on redoing the sign. We would like to end up with a low-key sign down here. But at this point, until we are sure that we can do what we want to do, we don't want to get rid of that sign. Otherwise, we are out of luck.  MR. KING: We get rid of the sign for the variance?  MR. SCHLAFLY: No, placement. I understand your need for a sign.  MR. PAPE: It's grandfathered in. There is no way we could ever get a sign that big. Not that we would ever want it.  CHAIRMAN WALCH: Is it bigger than the present ordinance allows?  MR. PAPE: I think every letter is. I think it's as wide.  MR. SCHLAFLY: There you go.  MR. GOEBEL: At least it's all caps.		
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	talking about that, is just right basically at this corner.  MR. PAPE: Right here. MR. BOURGEOIS: Okay. Now this is Schnarr's is here and they have MR. PAPE: Do you mean tree? MR. BOURGEOIS: Okay. The little tree island would be right here. So the main concern would be this area right here. That's the main concern. That's where the trucks actually, you know, come in and go out. And even with Schnarr's Hardware, with their little dock that they have located here, their trucks pull in right here, and they back up to the dock.  MR. GOEBEL: I know. I know just what you mean. Like you say, it's from the 1950s. Traffic wasn't what it is today. Like I say, I like the canopy. I like the idea of that. It's great that you are going to that trouble to do it. Thank you very much. If you can figure out some way to improve that parking safety you know, to have 190 feet of open frontage on Clayton Road, is just again, it might have been just fine in the 60s or 50s, but we are in	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. SCHLAFLY: I have a question. Was there some consideration to changing the sign? There is a beautiful facade and a rather old dreary-looking, laminate plastic, partly rusting sign.  MR. PAPE: We plan on redoing the sign. We would like to end up with a low-key sign down here. But at this point, until we are sure that we can do what we want to do, we don't want to get rid of that sign. Otherwise, we are out of luck.  MR. KING: We get rid of the sign for the variance?  MR. SCHLAFLY: No, placement. I understand your need for a sign.  MR. PAPE: It's grandfathered in. There is no way we could ever get a sign that big. Not that we would ever want it.  CHAIRMAN WALCH: Is it bigger than the present ordinance allows?  MR. PAPE: I think every letter is. I think it's as wide.  MR. SCHLAFLY: There you go.  MR. GOEBEL: At least it's all caps.  CHAIRMAN WALCH: Any other discussion by		
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	talking about that, is just right basically at this corner.  MR. PAPE: Right here. MR. BOURGEOIS: Okay. Now this is Schnarr's is here and they have MR. PAPE: Do you mean tree? MR. BOURGEOIS: Okay. The little tree island would be right here. So the main concern would be this area right here. That's the main concern. That's where the trucks actually, you know, come in and go out. And even with Schnarr's Hardware, with their little dock that they have located here, their trucks pull in right here, and they back up to the dock.  MR. GOEBEL: I know. I know just what you mean. Like you say, it's from the 1950s. Traffic wasn't what it is today. Like I say, I like the canopy. I like the idea of that. It's great that you are going to that trouble to do it. Thank you very much. If you can figure out some way to improve that parking safety you know, to have 190 feet of open frontage on Clayton Road, is just again, it might	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	MR. SCHLAFLY: I have a question. Was there some consideration to changing the sign? There is a beautiful facade and a rather old dreary-looking, laminate plastic, partly rusting sign.  MR. PAPE: We plan on redoing the sign. We would like to end up with a low-key sign down here. But at this point, until we are sure that we can do what we want to do, we don't want to get rid of that sign. Otherwise, we are out of luck.  MR. KING: We get rid of the sign for the variance?  MR. SCHLAFLY: No, placement. I understand your need for a sign.  MR. PAPE: It's grandfathered in. There is no way we could ever get a sign that big. Not that we would ever want it.  CHAIRMAN WALCH: Is it bigger than the present ordinance allows?  MR. PAPE: I think every letter is. I think it's as wide.  MR. SCHLAFLY: There you go.  MR. GOEBEL: At least it's all caps.  CHAIRMAN WALCH: Any other discussion by		

50 years, David. the members of the board on this application? 1 MR. GOEBEL: You are blind backing up. It MR. SCHLAFLY: I just -- the fascia of this 2 2 may seem like there is a lot of room right now, but 3 building is very flat and very unappealing along the it's because there is no visual barriers to show you drive in Ladue. And this improvement, from what 4 4 where you are compared to the concrete swale that's little I understand, with the elevation I have seen, down in the ground. Baking up out of those spaces may 6 appears to give a much better appearance in depth and be fine for you and me and someone who is able-bodied. 7 detail to Clayton Road and more reflective of the 7 But someone who is not completely visible or who is 8 8 retail center across the street. And if they could older or drives a very large car, that's the thing. 9 have gone further with it, I would find support with 9 I know that during the course of 10 that, but I think it makes a vast improvement to the 10 discussions with the City -- here in the last year or building stock on Clayton Road on the south side. 11 11 two, there were discussions about what Clayton Road's 12 MR. SHILLINGTON: I think it's very 12 13 character is and the ability to get people to park admirable they are making this change. What Fred is 13 there. But, you know, I mean, in this case, the 14 saying, it doesn't appear to be that a safety issue is 14 applicant is asking us for a variance where a permit 15 15 all that great. has already been denied. Unless we get some 16 MS. FORSHAW: I think I agree with what 16 John just said. Having heard the concerns about the understanding about how these --17 17 CHAIRMAN WALCH: Been denied. 18 18 trucks, we have got two longtime, sort of, local MR. GOEBEL: A building permit was denied. 19 retail landmarks right next-door to each other here, 19 CHAIRMAN WALCH: Sure. It clearly needs a Glen Alspaugh and Schnarr's. And they do seem to need 20 20 21 the truck-turning capability. And the Glen Alspaugh variance. 21 MR. GOEBEL: Well, I'm sure they don't want 22 22 building is an old 1950s look, which would really to lose any parking either, so I understand that. But 23 23 benefit from an attractive face-lift. The whole it doesn't seem to me unreasonable to combine changes 24 24 community would benefit from that improvement. to their parking lot with changes to their parking. 25 25 It is a very wide parking lot. I'm 23 21 So, I mean, I don't see that that's inconsistent. sympathetic to the undesirability of pushing three I don't know if it's one driveway, two parking spaces farther towards Clayton Road, but it is 2 driveways. But between Schnarr's and this building, 3 3 still a pretty wide parking lot. I guess I'm not it seems like they could get together and decide how convinced that the public safety would be materially much clearance they really need to get the curbs 5 5 impaired by that change. turned around. It seems like that one island that is 6 MR. SCHLAFLY: I would like to add one 7 there is stuck in the worst spot you could put it, so 7 point to that. They raised the question -- and I maybe that could go away in favor of something that don't know the answer to that -- as to whether the 8 9 works quite well. 9 control function of being able to improve curb cuts, MR. PAPE: It's actually on the other side. 10 10 traffic control, and changing that parking is more MR. GOEBEL: This is? 11 between the City of Ladue and maybe -- I don't know. 11 12 MR. PAPE: That's just a form. 12 MR. GOEBEL: I'm sure they would have to CHAIRMAN WALCH: It's not --13 13 get a permit from the county highway department to do MR. PAPE: It's an island of trees there. 14 14 any improvements. MR. GOEBEL: Is there one there, though? 15 15 MR. SCHLAFLY: That would be a control MR. PAPE: No. That's just the corner of a 16 16 function. 17 MR. GOEBEL: Sure. Yeah. 17 tree. MR. GOEBEL: Okay. Well, I'm confused. I MR. SCHLAFLY: Which may be more of a 18 18 19 guess, typically, when I think of curbs, I think of larger overview of the entire south. 19 something that goes in the roadway and something comes 20 MR. GOEBEL: Yeah. 20 out. If this is 25 plus 20, it's 45; right? 21 21 MR. SCHLAFLY: It is truly an experience in 22 MR. PAPE: Right. It's not an island. getting out of Schnarr's on Saturday between 9:00 and There is nothing there physically. 23 11:00. And really is --∠3 MR. GOEBEL: This isn't here? MR. GOEBEL: Yeah. I mean, you are blind. 24 24 MR. PAPE: Continuous curb cut. 25 25 CHAIRMAN WALCH: I have been doing it for 24

22

			and the second s		
1	MR. GOEBEL: This isn't here? It's over	1	presented, I find that practical difficulties exist		
2	here?	1	and that the decision on the building official is		
3	MR. PAPE: It's a swale they have in the		3 reversed and the variance is granted, as requested on		
4			the site plan dated May 9th, 2013. I do not have a		
	MR. BOURGEOIS: It's actually located	5	condition to it.		
٥	right like with this building, Schnarr's Hardware.	6	MS. FORSHAW: Maybe we should consider a		
7	Is this the dock?	7	condition to require those three parking spaces that		
8	MR. PAPE: The dock comes right here.	8	are being moved out to be for compact cars only? What		
9	MR. BOURGEOIS: The swale is here.	9	do you all think of that?  CHAIRMAN WALCH: Are you asking that		
10	MR. GOEBEL: So that's not located in the	10	question of David?		
11	right location?	11	MR. SCHLAFLY: I think that's a good idea.		
12	MR. PAPE: It's in the right location. The	13	Something that would reduce the intensity of the use.		
13	survey doesn't take into account the dock.	14	MR. GOEBEL: You know, I could probably		
14	MR, BOURGEOIS: I think I understand your	15	turn mine around in the size of one of these parking		
15	question. This appears to be a tree here with a curb.  MR. GOEBEL: Yeah.	16	spaces. I have demonstrated that to my wife, by the		
16	MR. GOEBEL: Yean.  MR. BOURGEOIS: It's really not here.	17	way, turn around in the driveway.		
17	MR. GOEBEL: My point is, it's a 45-foot	18	MS. FORSHAW: Is that something that would		
19	paved property; right? It seems like you guys could	19 work for you all?			
20	get together to figure out how to use that effectively	20	MR, BOURGEOIS: Yes. That would be fine.		
21	to get trucks to turn most efficiently without	21	MR. SCHLAFLY: Yes, I would.		
22	affecting what happens in front of that lot. To have	22	CHAIRMAN WALCH: Do you accept		
23	160 foot of open 190 feet, whatever it is, it's	23	Ms. Forshaw's		
24	just unreasonable. If you want a variance, it seems	24	MR. SCHLAFLY: I do.		
25	like it's not exceptional to ask that some things be	25	CHAIRMAN WALCH: Let's restate the motion		
	25		27		
	taken out of the front there and that's fine.	1	as amended. Do you want to do it, David or Liza?		
2	CHAIRMAN WALCH: Fred, it strikes me	2	MR. SCHLAFLY: So on the basis of the		
1 2	whether we have the power to condition a variance on	3	evidence presented, we find that practical		
3					
4	whether the highway department doing something or	4	difficulties exist, and the decision on the building		
	whether the highway department doing something or whoever else might have jurisdiction. I would think	5	official is reversed and the variance is granted, as		
4	whoever else might have jurisdiction. I would think the only thing we could do with that parking situation		official is reversed and the variance is granted, as requested on the site plan dated May 9th, 2013, with		
4 5	whoever else might have jurisdiction. I would think the only thing we could do with that parking situation legally is within our jurisdiction, is just say	5 6 7	official is reversed and the variance is granted, as requested on the site plan dated May 9th, 2013, with the following condition: That the three parking		
4 5 6	whoever else might have jurisdiction. I would think the only thing we could do with that parking situation legally is within our jurisdiction, is just say they couldn't use the two spaces, which stick out more	5 6 7 8	official is reversed and the variance is granted, as requested on the site plan dated May 9th, 2013, with the following condition: That the three parking spaces immediately in front of the portico, will be		
4 5 6 7 8 9	whoever else might have jurisdiction. I would think the only thing we could do with that parking situation legally is within our jurisdiction, is just say they couldn't use the two spaces, which stick out more than five feet, or five feet further back. But I	5 6 7 8 9	official is reversed and the variance is granted, as requested on the site plan dated May 9th, 2013, with the following condition: That the three parking spaces immediately in front of the portico, will be designated for compact cars only.		
4 5 6 7 8 9	whoever else might have jurisdiction. I would think the only thing we could do with that parking situation legally is within our jurisdiction, is just say they couldn't use the two spaces, which stick out more than five feet, or five feet further back. But I don't think we	5 6 7 8 9	official is reversed and the variance is granted, as requested on the site plan dated May 9th, 2013, with the following condition: That the three parking spaces immediately in front of the portico, will be designated for compact cars only.  MS. FORSHAW: Second.		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	whoever else might have jurisdiction. I would think the only thing we could do with that parking situation legally is within our jurisdiction, is just say they couldn't use the two spaces, which stick out more than five feet, or five feet further back. But I don't think we  MR. GOEBEL: I agree. No matter what we	5 6 7 8 9 10	official is reversed and the variance is granted, as requested on the site plan dated May 9th, 2013, with the following condition: That the three parking spaces immediately in front of the portico, will be designated for compact cars only.  MS. FORSHAW: Second.  CHAIRMAN WALCH: That motion has been made		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	whoever else might have jurisdiction. I would think the only thing we could do with that parking situation legally is within our jurisdiction, is just say they couldn't use the two spaces, which stick out more than five feet, or five feet further back. But I don't think we  MR. GOEBEL: I agree. No matter what we do, we are never conditioning it on either getting a	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	official is reversed and the variance is granted, as requested on the site plan dated May 9th, 2013, with the following condition: That the three parking spaces immediately in front of the portico, will be designated for compact cars only.  MS. FORSHAW: Second.  CHAIRMAN WALCH: That motion has been made and seconded. Any further discussion?		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	whoever else might have jurisdiction. I would think the only thing we could do with that parking situation legally is within our jurisdiction, is just say they couldn't use the two spaces, which stick out more than five feet, or five feet further back. But I don't think we  MR. GOEBEL: I agree. No matter what we do, we are never conditioning it on either getting a sign permit or not getting a highway department or not	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	official is reversed and the variance is granted, as requested on the site plan dated May 9th, 2013, with the following condition: That the three parking spaces immediately in front of the portico, will be designated for compact cars only.  MS. FORSHAW: Second.  CHAIRMAN WALCH: That motion has been made and seconded. Any further discussion?  Hearing none, now how do you vote,		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	whoever else might have jurisdiction. I would think the only thing we could do with that parking situation legally is within our jurisdiction, is just say they couldn't use the two spaces, which stick out more than five feet, or five feet further back. But I don't think we  MR. GOEBEL: I agree. No matter what we do, we are never conditioning it on either getting a sign permit or not getting a highway department or not getting a building code. But in term of what the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	official is reversed and the variance is granted, as requested on the site plan dated May 9th, 2013, with the following condition: That the three parking spaces immediately in front of the portico, will be designated for compact cars only.  MS. FORSHAW: Second.  CHAIRMAN WALCH: That motion has been made and seconded. Any further discussion?  Hearing none, now how do you vote, Mr. Goebel?		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	whoever else might have jurisdiction. I would think the only thing we could do with that parking situation legally is within our jurisdiction, is just say they couldn't use the two spaces, which stick out more than five feet, or five feet further back. But I don't think we  MR. GOEBEL: I agree. No matter what we do, we are never conditioning it on either getting a sign permit or not getting a highway department or not getting a building code. But in term of what the applicant comes in and asks for, you know, that can be	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	official is reversed and the variance is granted, as requested on the site plan dated May 9th, 2013, with the following condition: That the three parking spaces immediately in front of the portico, will be designated for compact cars only.  MS. FORSHAW: Second.  CHAIRMAN WALCH: That motion has been made and seconded. Any further discussion?  Hearing none, now how do you vote,  Mr. Goebel?  MR. GOEBEL: Aye.		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	whoever else might have jurisdiction. I would think the only thing we could do with that parking situation legally is within our jurisdiction, is just say they couldn't use the two spaces, which stick out more than five feet, or five feet further back. But I don't think we  MR. GOEBEL: I agree. No matter what we do, we are never conditioning it on either getting a sign permit or not getting a highway department or not getting a building code. But in term of what the applicant comes in and asks for, you know, that can be conditioned in any manner that we think is reasonable	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	official is reversed and the variance is granted, as requested on the site plan dated May 9th, 2013, with the following condition: That the three parking spaces immediately in front of the portico, will be designated for compact cars only.  MS. FORSHAW: Second.  CHAIRMAN WALCH: That motion has been made and seconded. Any further discussion?  Hearing none, now how do you vote,  Mr. Goebel?  MR. GOEBEL: Aye.  MS. FORSHAW: Yes.		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	whoever else might have jurisdiction. I would think the only thing we could do with that parking situation legally is within our jurisdiction, is just say they couldn't use the two spaces, which stick out more than five feet, or five feet further back. But I don't think we  MR. GOEBEL: I agree. No matter what we do, we are never conditioning it on either getting a sign permit or not getting a highway department or not getting a building code. But in term of what the applicant comes in and asks for, you know, that can be conditioned in any manner that we think is reasonable that is applicable to the subject at hand. So I	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	official is reversed and the variance is granted, as requested on the site plan dated May 9th, 2013, with the following condition: That the three parking spaces immediately in front of the portico, will be designated for compact cars only.  MS. FORSHAW: Second.  CHAIRMAN WALCH: That motion has been made and seconded. Any further discussion?  Hearing none, now how do you vote,  Mr. Goebel?  MR. GOEBEL: Aye.  MS. FORSHAW: Yes.  CHAIRMAN WALCH: In favor.		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	whoever else might have jurisdiction. I would think the only thing we could do with that parking situation legally is within our jurisdiction, is just say they couldn't use the two spaces, which stick out more than five feet, or five feet further back. But I don't think we  MR. GOEBEL: I agree. No matter what we do, we are never conditioning it on either getting a sign permit or not getting a highway department or not getting a building code. But in term of what the applicant comes in and asks for, you know, that can be conditioned in any manner that we think is reasonable that is applicable to the subject at hand. So I understand what you are saying. It is no guarantee	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	official is reversed and the variance is granted, as requested on the site plan dated May 9th, 2013, with the following condition: That the three parking spaces immediately in front of the portico, will be designated for compact cars only.  MS. FORSHAW: Second.  CHAIRMAN WALCH: That motion has been made and seconded. Any further discussion?  Hearing none, now how do you vote,  Mr. Goebel?  MR. GOEBEL: Aye.  MS. FORSHAW: Yes.  CHAIRMAN WALCH: In favor.  MR. SCHLAFLY: In favor.		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	whoever else might have jurisdiction. I would think the only thing we could do with that parking situation legally is within our jurisdiction, is just say they couldn't use the two spaces, which stick out more than five feet, or five feet further back. But I don't think we  MR. GOEBEL: I agree. No matter what we do, we are never conditioning it on either getting a sign permit or not getting a highway department or not getting a building code. But in term of what the applicant comes in and asks for, you know, that can be conditioned in any manner that we think is reasonable that is applicable to the subject at hand. So I understand what you are saying. It is no guarantee that they could get a curb cut or one or two or 20	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	official is reversed and the variance is granted, as requested on the site plan dated May 9th, 2013, with the following condition: That the three parking spaces immediately in front of the portico, will be designated for compact cars only.  MS. FORSHAW: Second.  CHAIRMAN WALCH: That motion has been made and seconded. Any further discussion?  Hearing none, now how do you vote,  Mr. Goebel?  MR. GOEBEL: Aye.  MS. FORSHAW: Yes.  CHAIRMAN WALCH: In favor.  MR. SCHLAFLY: In favor.  MR. SHILLINGTON: In favor.		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	whoever else might have jurisdiction. I would think the only thing we could do with that parking situation legally is within our jurisdiction, is just say they couldn't use the two spaces, which stick out more than five feet, or five feet further back. But I don't think we  MR. GOEBEL: I agree. No matter what we do, we are never conditioning it on either getting a sign permit or not getting a highway department or not getting a building code. But in term of what the applicant comes in and asks for, you know, that can be conditioned in any manner that we think is reasonable that is applicable to the subject at hand. So I understand what you are saying. It is no guarantee that they could get a curb cut or one or two or 20 foot, 40 foot, whatever it happens to be.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	official is reversed and the variance is granted, as requested on the site plan dated May 9th, 2013, with the following condition: That the three parking spaces immediately in front of the portico, will be designated for compact cars only.  MS. FORSHAW: Second.  CHAIRMAN WALCH: That motion has been made and seconded. Any further discussion?  Hearing none, now how do you vote,  Mr. Goebel?  MR. GOEBEL: Aye.  MS. FORSHAW: Yes.  CHAIRMAN WALCH: In favor.  MR. SCHLAFLY: In favor.  MR. SHILLINGTON: In favor.  CHAIRMAN WALCH: All right. You have a		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	whoever else might have jurisdiction. I would think the only thing we could do with that parking situation legally is within our jurisdiction, is just say they couldn't use the two spaces, which stick out more than five feet, or five feet further back. But I don't think we  MR. GOEBEL: I agree. No matter what we do, we are never conditioning it on either getting a sign permit or not getting a highway department or not getting a building code. But in term of what the applicant comes in and asks for, you know, that can be conditioned in any manner that we think is reasonable that is applicable to the subject at hand. So I understand what you are saying. It is no guarantee that they could get a curb cut or one or two or 20 foot, 40 foot, whatever it happens to be.  CHAIRMAN WALCH: So any further discussion	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	official is reversed and the variance is granted, as requested on the site plan dated May 9th, 2013, with the following condition: That the three parking spaces immediately in front of the portico, will be designated for compact cars only.  MS. FORSHAW: Second.  CHAIRMAN WALCH: That motion has been made and seconded. Any further discussion?  Hearing none, now how do you vote,  Mr. Goebel?  MR. GOEBEL: Aye.  MS. FORSHAW: Yes.  CHAIRMAN WALCH: In favor.  MR. SCHLAFLY: In favor.  CHAIRMAN WALCH: All right. You have a portico. You better get a nice looking compact car		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	whoever else might have jurisdiction. I would think the only thing we could do with that parking situation legally is within our jurisdiction, is just say they couldn't use the two spaces, which stick out more than five feet, or five feet further back. But I don't think we  MR. GOEBEL: I agree. No matter what we do, we are never conditioning it on either getting a sign permit or not getting a highway department or not getting a building code. But in term of what the applicant comes in and asks for, you know, that can be conditioned in any manner that we think is reasonable that is applicable to the subject at hand. So I understand what you are saying. It is no guarantee that they could get a curb cut or one or two or 20 foot, 40 foot, whatever it happens to be.  CHAIRMAN WALCH: So any further discussion by the board? If not, someone want to make a motion	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	official is reversed and the variance is granted, as requested on the site plan dated May 9th, 2013, with the following condition: That the three parking spaces immediately in front of the portico, will be designated for compact cars only.  MS. FORSHAW: Second.  CHAIRMAN WALCH: That motion has been made and seconded. Any further discussion?  Hearing none, now how do you vote,  Mr. Goebel?  MR. GOEBEL: Aye.  MS. FORSHAW: Yes.  CHAIRMAN WALCH: In favor.  MR. SCHLAFLY: In favor.  MR. SHILLINGTON: In favor.  CHAIRMAN WALCH: All right. You have a portico. You better get a nice looking compact car sign.		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	whoever else might have jurisdiction. I would think the only thing we could do with that parking situation legally is within our jurisdiction, is just say they couldn't use the two spaces, which stick out more than five feet, or five feet further back. But I don't think we  MR. GOEBEL: I agree. No matter what we do, we are never conditioning it on either getting a sign permit or not getting a highway department or not getting a building code. But in term of what the applicant comes in and asks for, you know, that can be conditioned in any manner that we think is reasonable that is applicable to the subject at hand. So I understand what you are saying. It is no guarantee that they could get a curb cut or one or two or 20 foot, 40 foot, whatever it happens to be.  CHAIRMAN WALCH: So any further discussion by the board? If not, someone want to make a motion on this matter?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	official is reversed and the variance is granted, as requested on the site plan dated May 9th, 2013, with the following condition: That the three parking spaces immediately in front of the portico, will be designated for compact cars only.  MS. FORSHAW: Second.  CHAIRMAN WALCH: That motion has been made and seconded. Any further discussion?  Hearing none, now how do you vote,  Mr. Goebel?  MR. GOEBEL: Aye.  MS. FORSHAW: Yes.  CHAIRMAN WALCH: In favor.  MR. SCHLAFLY: In favor.  CHAIRMAN WALCH: All right. You have a portico. You better get a nice looking compact car		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	whoever else might have jurisdiction. I would think the only thing we could do with that parking situation legally is within our jurisdiction, is just say they couldn't use the two spaces, which stick out more than five feet, or five feet further back. But I don't think we  MR. GOEBEL: I agree. No matter what we do, we are never conditioning it on either getting a sign permit or not getting a highway department or not getting a building code. But in term of what the applicant comes in and asks for, you know, that can be conditioned in any manner that we think is reasonable that is applicable to the subject at hand. So I understand what you are saying. It is no guarantee that they could get a curb cut or one or two or 20 foot, 40 foot, whatever it happens to be.  CHAIRMAN WALCH: So any further discussion by the board? If not, someone want to make a motion on this matter?  MR. SCHLAFLY: Mr. Chairman, I will. I	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	official is reversed and the variance is granted, as requested on the site plan dated May 9th, 2013, with the following condition: That the three parking spaces immediately in front of the portico, will be designated for compact cars only.  MS. FORSHAW: Second.  CHAIRMAN WALCH: That motion has been made and seconded. Any further discussion?  Hearing none, now how do you vote,  Mr. Goebel?  MR. GOEBEL: Aye.  MS. FORSHAW: Yes.  CHAIRMAN WALCH: In favor.  MR. SCHLAFLY: In favor.  MR. SHILLINGTON: In favor.  CHAIRMAN WALCH: All right. You have a portico. You better get a nice looking compact car sign.		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	whoever else might have jurisdiction. I would think the only thing we could do with that parking situation legally is within our jurisdiction, is just say they couldn't use the two spaces, which stick out more than five feet, or five feet further back. But I don't think we  MR. GOEBEL: I agree. No matter what we do, we are never conditioning it on either getting a sign permit or not getting a highway department or not getting a building code. But in term of what the applicant comes in and asks for, you know, that can be conditioned in any manner that we think is reasonable that is applicable to the subject at hand. So I understand what you are saying. It is no guarantee that they could get a curb cut or one or two or 20 foot, 40 foot, whatever it happens to be.  CHAIRMAN WALCH: So any further discussion by the board? If not, someone want to make a motion on this matter?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	official is reversed and the variance is granted, as requested on the site plan dated May 9th, 2013, with the following condition: That the three parking spaces immediately in front of the portico, will be designated for compact cars only.  MS. FORSHAW: Second.  CHAIRMAN WALCH: That motion has been made and seconded. Any further discussion?  Hearing none, now how do you vote,  Mr. Goebel?  MR. GOEBEL: Aye.  MS. FORSHAW: Yes.  CHAIRMAN WALCH: In favor.  MR. SCHLAFLY: In favor.  MR. SHILLINGTON: In favor.  CHAIRMAN WALCH: All right. You have a portico. You better get a nice looking compact car sign.		

4	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER							
1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER							
2								
3								
4	I, Bobbie L. Luber, Registered Professional							
Reporter, Certified Court Reporter, and Notary Public								
0	within and for the State of Missouri, do hereby							
7	certify that the meeting aforementioned was held on							
8	the time and in the place previously described.							
9	the time and in the place previously accompani							
10	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand							
11	and seal.							
12	and seal.							
	00000							
13								
14	france Sugar							
15	Bobbie L. Luber, RPR, CCR #621							
16								
17	BOBBIE L. LUBER							
18	Notary Public - Notary Seal							
19	State of Missouri St. Louis County							
20	My Commission Expires: July 19, 2016							
21	Commission #12478045							
22								
23								
24								
25								
	29							
100								

	W A = = **	Y		
#	5	<b>alley</b> [2] - 13:25, 14:12	becomes [3] - 7:9, 11:5, 18:2	С
#084.004673 [1] -	<b>50</b> [1] - 23:1	alleys [1] - 14:4	behind [1] - 13:25	canopy [3] - 17:22,
3:21	<b>50's</b> [1] - 6:12	<b>allows</b> [1] - 20:20	<b>belonged</b> [1] - 6:25	18:20
<b>#621</b> [2] - 3:20, 29:15	<b>50s</b> [1] - 18:25	<b>Alspaugh</b> [7] - 4:5,	benefit [3] - 15:9,	cantilever [1] - 12:4
<b>#9209</b> [1] - 3:20	<b>5:32</b> [1] - 28:23	6:8, 6:13, 11:15,	21:23, 21:24	cantilevered [2] -
		13:24, 21:20, 21:21	better [2] - 21:6,	5:5, 12:1
1	6	ALSPAUGH [2] -	28:21	capability [1] - 21:21
<b>1)(a</b> [1] - 4:9	<b>60s</b> [1] - 18:25	1:12, 2:10 amended [1] - 28:1	between [3] - 22:11, 22:22, 24:3	caps [1] - 20:24 car [3] - 16:23, 23:9,
<b>10</b> [2] - 8:20	<b>63124</b> [3] - 1:14,	amount [1] - 10:18	big [4] - 10:11,	28:21
<b>10-foot</b> [1] - 12:4	2:12, 2:19	answer [1] - 22:8	13:19, 15:18, 20:17	care [1] - 15:4
<b>1015</b> [1] - 1:21	<b>63131</b> [2] - 1:21, 3:22	answered [1] - 19:7	bigger [3] - 16:11,	cars [4] - 12:10,
<b>1141</b> [3] - 1:12, 2:10,	6th [1] - 5:11	anticipate [1] - 16:14	20:19	12:20, 27:8, 28:9
4:5		appeal [1] - 5:8	bit [2] - 9:3, 10:12	case [3] - 4:4, 8:11,
<b>1175</b> [1] - 4:9	9	appealing [1] - 12:3	blind [2] - 22:24,	23:14
<b>11:00</b> [1] - 22:23		appear [1] - 21:14	23:2	caused [1] - 6:20
<b>13</b> [1] - 10:15	<b>9345</b> [1] - 2:18	appearance [1] -	blocks [1] - 10:16	CCR [2] - 3:20, 29:15
<b>160</b> [1] - 25:23	<b>9808</b> [3] - 1:13, 2:11,	21:6	board [8] - 4:12, 6:7,	center [1] - 21:8
<b>190</b> [3] - 12:14,	4:5	appellant [2] - 6:2,	8:4, 8:10, 19:16,	centers [1] - 11:3
18:23, 25:23	993-0911 <sub>[1]</sub> - 3:23	19:17	19:22, 21:1, 26:22	<b>certainly</b> [2] - 7:18,
<b>1950ish</b> [1] - 9:16	9:00 [1] - 22:22	Appellant [1] - 3:14	BOARD [2] - 1:3, 2:1	7:21
<b>1950s</b> [3] - 6:10,	<b>9th</b> [3] - 5:15, 27:4, 28:6	appellant's [1] - 5:14	Board [3] - 2:16, 4:1,	CERTIFICATE [1] -
18:18, 21:22	20.0	applicable [1] -	5:24 BOBBIE [1] - 1:20	29:1
2	Α	26:17	Bobbie [5] - 2:20,	Certified [2] - 2:20, 29:5
4		applicant [2] - 23:15, 26:15	3:19, 3:21, 29:4,	29:5 certify [1] - 29:7
<b>20</b> [5] - 13:16, 14:4,	ability [1] - 23:13	application [5] -	29:15	chairman [3] - 6:6,
16:2, 24:21, 26:19	able [3] - 15:13, 22:9,	5:22, 7:19, 7:20,	bodied [1] - 23:7	7:16, 26:24
<b>2013</b> [7] - 1:16, 2:16,	23:7	19:19, 21:1	Bourgeois [2] - 3:16,	Chairman [1] - 3:4
5:11, 5:15, 19:1, 27:4,	able-bodied [1] -	architect [2] - 6:15,	14:23	CHAIRMAN [28] -
28:6	23:7	7:12	BOURGEOIS [11] -	4:4, 4:17, 4:21, 5:2,
<b>210</b> [2] - 12:14, 15:3	above-entitled [1] -	architecturally [1] -	15:12, 15:18, 15:24,	5:7, 5:21, 7:18, 8:9,
210-foot [1] - 15:1	2:19 accept [1] - 27:22	13:14	18:1, 18:6, 18:9, 25:5,	8:14, 9:7, 11:11, 19:8,
<b>25</b> [1] - 24:21	access [1] - 19:6	area [4] - 4:22, 11:5,	25:9, 25:14, 25:17,	19:12, 19:15, 20:19,
25-foot [1] - 14:4	accomplish [2] -	18:2, 18:11	27:20	20:25, 22:25, 23:18,
3	16:8, 17:21	arrive [1] - 19:24	<b>Box</b> [2] - 1:21, 3:22 <b>bring</b> [1] - 9:17	23:20, 24:13, 26:2, 26:21, 27:10, 27:22,
J	accordance [1] -	aspects [1] - 8:6 attempt [1] - 11:7	brought [1] - 13:18	27:25, 28:11, 28:17,
<b>3</b> [1] - 1:16	7:25	Attorney [1] - 3:14	build [1] - 17:6	28:20
<b>30</b> [2] - 16:1, 16:4	account [1] - 25:13	attorney [1] - 6:7	building [31] - 6:9,	challenge [1] - 15:5
<b>31201</b> [2] - 1:21, 3:22	add [1] - 22:6	attractive [1] - 21:23	6:10, 6:16, 6:17, 6:25,	change [4] - 8:3,
<b>314</b> [1] - 3:23	additional [2] - 7:2,	audience [1] - 19:19	7:3, 7:9, 9:1, 9:11,	17:5, 21:13, 22:5
314.993.0911 [1] -	10:6	averse [1] - 19:3	9:12, 9:15, 9:16, 9:23,	changed [2] - 7:20,
1:22	address [3] - 7:20,	aware [1] - 8:5	10:6, 10:10, 10:15,	11:17
32 [1] - 16:6	19:1, 19:4	<b>Aye</b> [1] - 28:15	10:21, 11:15, 12:25,	<b>changes</b> [6] - 7:12,
<b>36</b> [1] - 16:4	ADJUSTMENT [2] -		15:17, 15:20, 21:3,	8:1, 11:17, 11:24,
<b>39</b> [2] - 8:20	1:3, 2:1 Adjustment [3] -	В	21:11, 21:22, 23:19,	23:24, 23:25
3rd [1] - 2:15	2:17, 4:2, 5:24	1 11 10 17	24:3, 25:6, 26:14, 27:2, 28:4	changing [2] - 20:4,
4	admirable [1] - 21:13	backing [2] - 12:17,	Building [2] - 3:12,	22:10 character [1] - 23:13
т	aesthetic [1] - 12:7	23:2 baking [1] - 23:6	4:7	city [1] - 13:15
40 [2] - 16:2, 26:20	affecting [1] - 25:22	baking [1] - 23.6 barber [2] - 10:25,	buildings [5] - 10:13,	CITY [2] - 1:4, 2:2
<b>40-foot</b> [1] - 8:21	aforementioned [1] -	11:1	10:23, 11:11, 11:14,	City [10] - 2:17, 2:18,
<b>45</b> [1] - 24:21	29:7	barriers [1] - 23:4	14:1	3:11, 4:2, 5:17, 5:24,
<b>45-foot</b> [1] - 25:18	aggravate [2] - 13:3,	basis [2] - 26:25,	built [2] - 6:10, 10:1	7:4, 22:11, 23:11
<b>4:00</b> [1] - 4:3	17:24	28:2	<b>bulging</b> [1] - 4:18	cladding [1] - 11:19
	<b>ago</b> [2] - 13:16, 14:9	<b>basket</b> [1] - 10:5		<b>CLAYTON</b> [2] - 1:13,
	<b>agree</b> [3] - 8:13,	<b>BE</b> [1] - 2:15		2:11
	21:16, 26:11	beautiful [1] - 20:5		Clayton [16] - 2:18,

4:6, 6:9, 6:22, 11:2, 12:15, 13:1, 13:4, 13:21, 15:6, 16:14, 18:24, 21:7, 21:11, 22:2, 23:12 cleaning [1] - 12:25 clear [1] - 19:4 clearance [1] - 24:5 clearly [2] - 15:2, 23:20 Clerk [1] - 3:11 closed [1] - 19:23 closer [1] - 4:23 code [1] - 26:14 colonnade [2] - 9:24, 11:3 columns [2] - 9:25, 12:1 combine [1] - 23:24 coming [4] - 10:19, 10:24, 13:4, 16:14 comment [2] - 14:21, 19:22 commercial [2] -15:25, 16:2 Commissioner [1] community [1] -21:24 compact [3] - 27:8, 28:9, 28:21 company [1] - 6:14 Company [1] - 4:5 **COMPANY** [2] - 1:12, compared [1] - 23:5 complacent [1] completely [1] - 23:8 compliance [1] -7:21 composite [1] -11:23 concern [5] - 15:4, 15:11, 15:13, 18:10, 18:11 concerned [4] - 9:7, 12:13, 12:22, 14:17 concerning [1] -7:17 concerns [1] - 21:17 concluded [1] -28:23 **concrete** [1] - 23:5 condemned [1] - 7:1 condition [4] - 26:3, 27:5, 27:7, 28:7 conditioned [1] -26:16 conditioning [1] -

26:12 conditions [2] - 7:21, 8:3 configuration [1] -7:24 **conformity** [1] - 8:6 confused [2] - 14:3, 24:18 consider [2] - 5:5, 27:6 consideration [1] -20:4 considered [1] - 16:7 consultants [1] -5:23 continuous [1] -24:25 control [7] - 12:16, 15:10, 16:19, 16:21, 22:9, 22:10, 22:15 convinced [1] - 22:4 corner [2] - 18:4, 24:16 correct [3] - 5:4, 12:12, 14:16 **COUNCIL** [1] - 3:3 county [1] - 22:13 course [1] - 23:10 COURT [1] - 20:2 Court [3] - 2:20, 3:19, 29:5 court [1] - 6:5 covered [1] - 10:7 create [3] - 10:8, 10:9, 10:19 creates [1] - 9:14 CSR [1] - 3:21 curb [12] - 12:15, 15:2, 15:3, 15:23, 16:16, 17:6, 17:12, 17:16, 22:9, 24:25, 25:15, 26:19 curbs [2] - 24:5, 24:19 current [1] - 7:22 cut [8] - 12:15, 15:2, 15:3, 15:23, 17:12, 17:16, 24:25, 26:19 cuts [1] - 22:9

#### D

damage [1] - 9:19 date [1] - 9:17 dated [4] - 5:11, 5:15, 27:4, 28:6 David [9] - 3:7, 3:15, 6:14, 7:14, 8:25, 15:12, 23:1, 27:11, 28:1

decide [1] - 24:4 decided [1] - 10:4 decision [3] - 19:24, 27:2, 28:4 declare [1] - 19:22 declined [1] - 4:7 dedicated [1] - 7:8 dedication [1] - 6:23 deeper [1] - 13:9 degree [1] - 8:2 deliveries [1] - 15:15 demonstrated [1] -27:16 denial [1] - 5:10 denied [3] - 23:16, 23:18, 23:19 department [5] - 7:1, 7:8, 22:13, 26:4, 26:13 depth [2] - 15:7, 21:6 **Deputy** [2] - 3:12, 4:7 described [1] - 29:8 designated [1] - 28:9 detail [1] - 21:7 development [1] -11:10 difference [1] - 8:17 different [1] - 9:22 difficult [3] - 14:1, 17:14, 17:24 difficulties [2] - 27:1, 28:4 dimensional [1] -10:9 directly [1] - 12:15 disapproved [1] -4:11 discrepancy [1] -8:19 discussed [1] - 13:6 discussion [3] -20:25, 26:21, 28:12 discussions [2] -23:11, 23:12 distance [1] - 8:18 dock [7] - 15:20, 15:22, 18:14, 18:16, 25:7, 25:8, 25:13 Docket [3] - 1:12, 2:10, 4:4 door [2] - 5:1, 21:19 down [4] - 10:25, 15:5, 20:8, 23:6 drawing [3] - 4:17, 4:22, 4:25 draws [1] - 9:24

dealing [1] - 8:11

20:5
dress [1] - 11:14
drive [4] - 10:10,
11:6, 17:4, 21:4
drives [1] - 23:9
driveway [3] - 15:25,
24:2, 27:17
driveways [1] - 24:3
driving [1] - 17:17
duly [1] - 14:23
during [1] - 23:10

Ε early [1] - 6:10 easement [3] - 17:3, 17:4, 17:5 **effective** [1] - 15:10 effectively [1] -25:20 efficiently [1] - 25:21 eggs [1] - 10:5 either [3] - 17:16, 23:23, 26:12 element [2] - 4:15, 4:25 elevation [3] - 4:14, 11:21, 21:5 encroaching [1] -11:10 end [4] - 9:22, 10:21, 17:16, 20:8 entire [2] - 5:22, 22:19 entitled [1] - 2:19 entrance [6] - 10:2, 10:7, 10:17, 13:1, 16:2 entrances [1] - 15:25 entry [2] - 4:8, 4:15 evening [1] - 6:8 evidence [2] - 26:25, exactly [2] - 13:11, 17:18 exceptional [1] -25:25 Exhibit [5] - 5:9, 5:11, 5:18, 5:25 exhibit [3] - 6:21, 6:22, 19:13 **exhibits** [1] - 5:8 exist [3] - 7:22, 27:1, 28:4 existing [3] - 8:2, 9:13, 11:20 exists [1] - 6:16 experience [1] -

7:12 extends [1] - 4:15

## F

facade [2] - 9:15, 20:5 face [2] - 9:12, 21:23 face-lift [1] - 21:23 fact [2] - 8:5, 13:2 far [1] - 10:20 fascia [1] - 21:2 favor [6] - 14:19, 19:11, 24:8, 28:17, 28:18, 28:19 feet [27] - 4:16, 6:24, 7:2, 9:13, 10:1, 10:15, 10:21, 10:22, 10:25, 12:11, 12:14, 12:20, 13:2, 15:1, 15:3, 16:1, 16:2, 16:4, 17:1, 17:9, 17:10, 18:23, 25:23, 26:9 few [2] - 11:3, 15:1 figure [5] - 16:10, 16:12, 17:21, 18:22, 25:20 file [1] - 5:22 finally [1] - 5:21 fine [4] - 18:25, 23:7, 26:1, 27:20 first [1] - 5:8 five [10] - 10:22, 12:10, 12:20, 13:2, 16:25, 17:1, 17:9, 26:9 five-foot [1] - 16:25 flat [1] - 21:3 focus [1] - 8:7 following [3] - 2:23, 26:25, 28:7 foot [6] - 8:20, 16:25, 25:23, 26:20 form [1] - 24:12 formalities [1] - 6:1 FORSHAW [6] -19:25, 21:16, 27:6, 27:18, 28:10, 28:16 Forshaw [1] - 3:6 Forshaw's [1] -27:23 forward [1] - 6:2 four [2] - 9:13, 10:25 frame [1] - 11:20 Fred [3] - 3:5, 21:13, front [10] - 4:8, 4:14, 4:15, 4:16, 5:1, 7:14, 19:2, 25:22, 26:1, 28:8

dreary [1] - 20:5

dreary-looking [1] -

22:21

explain [2] - 4:10,

frontage [3] - 6:11, 18:24, 19:5 function [2] - 22:9, 22:16

#### G

gables [1] - 9:22 GARTENBERG [7] -4:13, 4:19, 4:24, 5:4, 7:15, 7:19, 16:4 Gartenberg [3] -3:12, 4:10, 5:10 generally [2] - 16:7, 16:10 given [1] - 12:23 GLEN [2] - 1:12, 2:10 Glen [6] - 4:5, 6:8, 11:15, 13:24, 21:20, 21:21 GOEBEL [42] - 8:24, 9:3, 11:19, 12:9, 12:13, 13:11, 13:22, 14:3, 14:10, 14:14, 14:17, 14:21, 14:24, 15:17, 15:23, 15:25, 16:7, 16:18, 16:20, 17:8, 17:13, 17:18, 18:17, 20:24, 22:12, 22:17, 22:20, 22:24, 23:2, 23:19, 23:22, 24:11, 24:15, 24:18, 24:24, 25:1, 25:10, 25:16, 25:18, 26:11, 27:14, 28:15 Goebel [2] - 3:5, 28:14 grandfathered [1] -20:16 granted [2] - 27:3, 28:5 great [3] - 17:22, 18:20, 21:15 greatest [1] - 15:7 green [2] - 13:14, 13:18 ground [1] - 23:6 Grupp [1] - 1:21 guarantee [1] - 26:18 guess [5] - 7:13, 12:22, 14:24, 22:3, 24:19

## Н

hail [1] - 9:19 Hall [1] - 2:18 hand [2] - 26:17, 29:10

**guys** [1] - 25:19

10:14, 15:19, 18:13, 25:6 hear [1] - 4:19 heard [1] - 21:17 hearing [6] - 2:16, 5:9, 5:13, 19:18, 19:21, 28:13 Hearing [1] - 28:23 height [1] - 12:5 held [2] - 2:16, 29:7 help [1] - 16:1 helps [1] - 13:23 hereby [1] - 29:6 hereunto [1] - 29:10 higher [1] - 12:5 highway [5] - 7:1, 7:8, 22:13, 26:4, 26:13 huge [1] - 10:11 hurt [1] - 12:21

Hardware [4] -

## Ī

idea [3] - 9:23, 18:20,

identify [1] - 8:2

27:12

Illinois [1] - 3:21 image [3] - 9:15, 9:21, 10:8 immediately [1] -28:8 impaired [1] - 22:5 impairment [1] -16:25 **important** [1] - 13:1 improve [3] - 18:22, 19:3, 22:9 improvement [5] -12:25, 19:2, 21:4, 21:10, 21:24 improvements [3] -4:14, 17:23, 22:14 improving [1] -12:18 **IN** [3] - 1:10, 2:8, 29:10 inch [1] - 9:5 inches [2] - 8:21, 9:5 including [2] - 4:15, 5:22 inconsistent [1] -24:1 increased [2] - 8:1, 8:7 information [1] -7:16 ingress/egress [1] -15:10 Inspector [1] - 3:13

instances [1] - 7:7 Instead [1] - 10:5 intensity [1] - 27:13 interrupting [1] -10:20 inviting [1] - 10:18 island [4] - 18:10, 24:6, 24:14, 24:22 issue [3] - 4:7, 18:2, 21:14 issues [2] - 4:12, 8:12 IT [1] - 2:15 itself [1] - 13:8

## J

jackhammer [1] 17:6
job [1] - 9:14
John [5] - 3:8, 3:14,
6:7, 17:19, 21:17
June [2] - 1:16, 2:16
jurisdiction [2] 26:5, 26:7

## K

keep [1] - 16:10 keeping [1] - 14:20 key [1] - 20:8 kind [6] - 9:24, 10:1, 10:15, 11:4, 11:5, 13:23 kinds [1] - 9:22 KING [10] - 6:6, 8:13, 8:23, 8:25, 9:9, 16:6, 17:15, 19:10, 19:14, 20:12 King [1] - 3:14 king [4] - 6:7, 7:23,

#### L

8:18, 19:8

LaBarge [1] - 14:13 LADUE [6] - 1:4, 1:5, 1:14, 2:2, 2:3, 2:12 Ladue [9] - 2:17, 2:18, 4:2, 5:17, 5:24, 11:2, 21:4, 22:11 laminate [1] - 20:6 landmarks [1] -21:19 large [2] - 13:24, 23:9 larger [1] - 22:19

letter [5] - 5:10, 5:14, 19:11, 19:25, 20:21 letters [2] - 5:16, 5:18 lift [1] - 21:23 likely [1] - 15:9 line [6] - 5:6, 6:17, 9:2, 9:12, 9:14, 10:24 list [1] - 5:12 **Liza** [2] - 3:6, 28:1 LLC [2] - 1:20, 3:21 local [1] - 21:18 located [6] - 6:9, 7:14, 15:20, 18:14, 25:5, 25:10 location [2] - 25:11, 25:12 longtime [1] - 21:18 look [6] - 4:22, 10:23, 12:3, 13:7, 17:20, 21:22 looked [2] - 8:19, 9:21 looking [2] - 20:5, 28:21 looks [3] - 4:21, 12:24, 14:14 lose [1] - 23:23 **Louis** [3] - 1:21, 3:22, 7:7 love [1] - 13:14 low [1] - 20:8 low-key [1] - 20:8 LUBER [1] - 1:20 Luber [5] - 2:20, 3:19, 3:21, 29:4, 29:15

legally [1] - 26:7

# М

luck [1] - 20:11

mailed [1] - 5:13 main [2] - 18:10, 18:11 major [1] - 7:7 manner [1] - 26:16 marked [3] - 5:18, 5:25, 19:12 married [1] - 11:17 materially [1] - 22:4 MATTER [2] - 1:10, 2:8 matter [4] - 2:20, 6:18, 26:11, 26:23 mean [6] - 16:10, 18:8, 18:18, 22:24, 23:14, 24:1 meeting [2] - 19:23, 29:7

Meeting [1] - 4:1 member [3] - 19:16, 19:18, 19:21 members [3] - 4:11, 6:6, 21:1 **MEMBERS** [1] - 3:3 memorandum [1] mentioned [2] - 7:23, 15:12 metal [2] - 9:19, 11:22 Michael [2] - 3:11, 3:12 middle [2] - 12:10, 14:5 might [3] - 13:16, 18:24, 26:5 mine [1] - 27:15 minimal [1] - 10:18 miss [1] - 10:12 MISSOURI [4] - 1:5, 1:14, 2:3, 2:12 Missouri [5] - 2:17, 2:19, 2:22, 3:20, 29:6 **MO** [2] - 1:21, 3:22 Monday [1] - 1:16 most [4] - 8:11, 10:13, 15:9, 25:21 motion [3] - 26:22, 27:25, 28:11 move [1] - 26:25 moved [1] - 27:8 MR [121] - 4:13, 4:19, 4:24, 5:4, 5:20, 6:6, 7:15, 7:19, 8:13, 8:17, 8:23, 8:24, 8:25, 9:3, 9:4, 9:9, 9:11, 11:13, 11:19, 11:22, 11:25, 12:2, 12:9, 12:12, 12:13, 13:7, 13:11, 13:12, 13:22, 13:23, 14:3, 14:7, 14:10, 14:11, 14:12, 14:14, 14:16, 14:17, 14:19, 14:21, 14:24, 15:12, 15:17, 15:18, 15:23, 15:24, 15:25, 16:4, 16:6, 16:7, 16:16, 16:18, 16:19, 16:20, 17:3, 17:8, 17:11, 17:13, 17:15, 17:18, 18:1, 18:5, 18:6, 18:8, 18:9, 18:17, 19:10, 19:14, 20:3, 20:7, 20:12, 20:14, 20:16, 20:21, 20:23, 20:24, 21:2, 21:12, 22:6, 22:12, 22:15, 22:17, 22:18, 22:20, 22:21,

last [1] - 23:11

least [1] - 20:24

legal [1] - 14:8

22:24, 23:2, 23:19, 23:22, 24:10, 24:11, 24:12, 24:14, 24:15, 24:16, 24:18, 24:22, 24:24, 24:25, 25:1, 25:3, 25:5, 25:8, 25:9, 25:10, 25:12, 25:14, 25:16, 25:17, 25:18, 26:11, 26:24, 27:12, 27:14, 27:20, 27:21, 27:24, 28:2, 28:15, 28:18, 28:19 MS [6] - 19:25, 21:16, 27:6, 27:18, 28:10, 28:16

#### N

name [1] - 6:7

necessarily [1] -7:24 need [6] - 4:22, 15:3. 15:21, 20:15, 21:20, 24:5 needs [1] - 23:20 never [2] - 10:10, 26:12 new [6] - 9:15, 9:18, 10:8, 10:17, 11:18 next [3] - 4:4, 5:10, 21:19 next-door [1] - 21:19 nice [1] - 28:21 nine [2] - 4:16, 10:21 NO [1] - 1:12 nonconformities [1] nonconformity [2] -8:2, 8:11 none [3] - 19:18, 19:21, 28:13 Notary [2] - 2:21, 29:5 note [2] - 6:21, 6:22 nothing [1] - 24:23 notice [4] - 5:9, 5:12, 10:10, 12:9 Number [1] - 4:5

#### 0

objects [1] - 19:22 **OF** [7] - 1:3, 1:4, 1:10, 2:1, 2:2, 2:8, 29:1 offer [1] - 7:16 official [2] - 27:2, 28:5 old [2] - 20:5, 21:22 older [1] - 23:9

one [17] - 6:24, 9:5, 10:5, 10:6, 10:7, 11:12, 11:13, 13:9, 14:14, 15:18, 22:6, 24:2, 24:6, 24:15, 26:19, 27:15 One [1] - 10:12 open [2] - 18:23, 25:23 opposed [1] - 17:21 opposition [2] -5:16, 13:19 options [1] - 9:22 order [2] - 4:3, 15:22 Ordinance [1] - 4:9 ordinance [2] - 5:3, 20:20 otherwise [1] - 20:11 overall [1] - 19:2 overhang [4] - 9:12, 10:22, 11:9, 11:20 overview [1] - 22:19 owned [2] - 6:25, 11:16 owner [1] - 6:14 owners [2] - 14:17, 14:19

## P

**p.m** [2] - 4:3, 28:23

**P.O** [2] - 1:21, 3:22 PAPE [32] - 8:17, 9:4, 9:11, 11:13, 11:22, 12:2, 12:12, 13:7, 13:12, 13:23, 14:7, 14:11, 14:16, 14:19, 16:16, 16:19, 17:3, 17:11, 18:5, 18:8, 20:7, 20:16, 20:21, 24:10, 24:12, 24:14, 24:16, 24:22, 24:25, 25:3, 25:8, 25:12 Pape [5] - 3:15, 6:14, 7:11, 8:16, 15:6 park [1] - 23:13 parking [23] - 7:24, 10:20, 12:19, 12:21, 13:8, 13:9, 13:19, 15:1, 15:16, 15:22, 16:11, 18:23, 21:25, 22:2, 22:3, 22:10, 23:23, 23:25, 26:6, 27:7, 27:15, 28:7 part [3] - 13:15, 14:7, participants [1] - 6:4 partly [1] - 20:6 past [4] - 10:10, 10:15, 10:24, 11:6

paved [1] - 25:19 people [5] - 6:25, 16:13, 16:14, 19:11, 23:13 permit [5] - 4:8, 22:13, 23:15, 23:19, 26:13 person [1] - 11:16 pertaining [1] - 5:22 physically [3] - 12:7, 17:4, 24:23 place [2] - 13:20, 29:8 placed [1] - 5:6 placement [1] -20:14 plan [4] - 4:18, 20:7, 27:4, 28:6 **planning** [1] - 9:18 plans [1] - 4:11 planter [1] - 14:5 plastic [1] - 20:6 plus [1] - 24:21 point [5] - 16:16, 17:14, 20:9, 22:7, 25:18 portico [3] - 7:13, 28:8, 28:21 portion [2] - 5:5, 19:23 possibility [1] -11:25 possible [1] - 15:8 power [1] - 26:3 practical [2] - 27:1, 28:3 present [1] - 20:20 Present [1] - 3:10 presented [2] - 27:1, 28:3 pretty [4] - 10:1, 12:24, 14:1, 22:3 previously [2] - 4:2, problem [1] - 9:14 proceed [1] - 8:16 proceedings [1] -2:23 Professional [3] -2:21, 3:20, 29:4 project [1] - 8:7 properties [1] -12:18 property [12] - 7:22,

8:1, 8:7 proposition [1] -13:16 Public [2] - 2:22, 29:5 **public** [4] - 5:9, 5:13, 19:22, 22:4 pull [5] - 12:19, 13:12, 13:13, 15:21, 18:15 **pulled** [1] - 14:8 pulling [4] - 12:10, 13:2, 14:25, 16:13 pushing [1] - 22:1 put [5] - 5:8, 7:3, 9:25, 10:4, 24:7 putting [2] - 6:18, 11:23

## Q

quarter [1] - 8:20 questions [1] - 19:17 quite [3] - 10:12, 11:3, 24:9

## R

raised [1] - 22:7 rather [1] - 20:5 re [1] - 11:19 re-cladding [1] -11:19 reality [1] - 13:8 really [10] - 6:15, 10:2, 11:13, 12:8, 18:1, 18:2, 21:22, 22:23, 24:5, 25:17 reason [2] - 4:10, 10:12 reasonable [1] -26:16 reasons [1] - 4:11 received [1] - 5:17 recessed [1] - 11:5 redoing [1] - 20:7 reduce [2] - 17:1, 27:13 reflective [1] - 21:7 reframing [1] - 11:21 regarding [1] - 2:19 Registered [3] -2:21, 3:20, 29:4 relief [1] - 4:6 REMEMBERED [1] repeat [1] - 17:9 reporter [1] - 6:5 REPORTER [2] -

20:2, 29:1

Reporter [6] - 2:21, 3:19, 3:20, 29:5 representing [1] -6:8 request [1] - 5:16 requested [2] - 27:3, 28:6 requesting [2] - 4:6, 5:14 require [1] - 27:7 required [1] - 4:16 residents [1] - 5:12 restate [1] - 27:25 result [1] - 8:8 retail [3] - 15:2, 21:8, 21:19 reversed [2] - 27:3, 28:5 review [1] - 7:20 reviewing [1] - 7:25 rid [2] - 20:10, 20:12 right-of-way [2] -6:23, 7:9 **ROAD** [2] - 1:13, 2:11 road [1] - 25:4 Road [16] - 1:21, 2:18, 4:6, 6:9, 6:22, 11:2, 12:15, 13:1, 13:4, 15:6, 16:14, 18:24, 21:7, 21:11, 22:2 Road's [1] - 23:12 roadway [1] - 24:20 roadways [2] - 7:7, 16:3 roof [9] - 9:18, 9:19,

9:20, 11:9, 11:16, 11:18, 11:20, 11:23 **room** [2] - 13:12, 23:3 **RPR** [1] - 29:15 rusting [1] - 20:6

## S

safe [1] - 16:24 safety [3] - 18:23, 21:14, 22:4 Saturday [1] - 22:22 **Schlafly** [1] - 3:7 SCHLAFLY [14] -20:3, 20:14, 20:23, 21:2, 22:6, 22:15, 22:18, 22:21, 26:24, 27:12, 27:21, 27:24, 28:2, 28:18 Schnarr's [10] -10:14, 10:24, 15:19, 18:7, 18:13, 20:2,

propose [1] - 13:17

proposed [3] - 4:13,

8:1, 8:6, 13:4, 14:8,

14:13, 15:2, 15:7,

15:8, 16:15, 16:17,

25:19

21:20, 22:22, 24:3, 25:6 seal [1] - 29:11 second [1] - 28:10 seconded [1] - 28:12 **Section** [1] - 4:8 see [8] - 7:6, 9:1, 11:20, 12:5, 13:14, 14:4, 19:3, 24:1 seem [3] - 21:20, 23:3, 23:24 **semis** [3] - 13:20, 13:25, 16:13 **Senior** [1] - 6:13 session [1] - 19:24 set [2] - 10:12, 29:10 setback [2] - 7:4, 8:21 setbacks [1] - 7:23 SHILLINGTON [3] -11:25, 21:12, 28:19 Shillington [1] - 3:8 shop [2] - 11:1 **shopping** [1] - 11:3 shops [1] - 11:15 **show** [1] - 23:4 showing [1] - 4:18 side [6] - 4:14, 9:5, 9:6, 15:20, 21:11, 24:10 sign [11] - 10:11, 20:4, 20:6, 20:7, 20:8, 20:11, 20:12, 20:15, 20:17, 26:13, 28:22 site [3] - 4:18, 27:4, 28:6 sits [1] - 11:5 **situation** [6] - 6:11, 12:19, 13:3, 17:14, 17:25, 26:6 size [1] - 27:15 slate [2] - 9:20, 11:23 slightly [1] - 6:17 small [1] - 14:1 softer [1] - 9:21 **solution** [2] - 11:8, 17:19 someone [3] - 23:7, 23:8, 26:22 somewhat [1] -11:17 **sorry** [1] - 4:19 sort [5] - 4:18, 9:24, 10:3, 11:9, 21:18 south [2] - 21:11, 22:19 spaces [8] - 15:1, 16:23, 22:2, 23:6, 26:8, 27:7, 27:16, 28:8

**sparking** [1] - 16:23 spot [2] - 10:7, 24:7 **St** [3] - 1:21, 3:22, 7:7 staff [1] - 5:23 standards [2] - 7:22, 7:25 Stanley [1] - 3:4 start [1] - 18:2 starts [1] - 11:14 State [3] - 2:19, 2:22, 29:6 stick [1] - 26:8 still [4] - 5:6, 10:24, 11:2, 22:3 stock [1] - 21:11 **straight** [1] - 9:4 strange [1] - 10:2 street [5] - 14:4, 14:10, 14:11, 14:15, 21:8 strikes [1] - 26:2 **strip** [2] - 13:14, 13:18 structural [1] - 11:24 stuck [1] - 24:7 study [1] - 16:9 subject [1] - 26:17 substantive [1] -12:24 super [1] - 17:23 **support** [3] - 5:16, 19:25, 21:9 **supposed** [1] - 14:6 survey [2] - 14:8, 25:13 swale [3] - 23:5, 25:3, 25:9 sworn [3] - 6:3, 6:4, 14:23 sympathetic [1] -

Т

system [1] - 9:18

ten [2] - 6:24, 7:2 term [1] - 26:14 terms [1] - 13:3 **THE** [2] - 1:10, 2:8 thinking [1] - 9:20 three [9] - 8:20, 9:5, 10:1, 10:9, 12:10, 12:20, 22:1, 27:7, 28:7 three-dimensional [1] - 10:9

three-quarter [1] -8:20 tiles [1] - 5:3

together [3] - 11:17, 24:4, 25:20 **Tom** [2] - 3:16, 6:13 took [1] - 7:2 tough [1] - 12:8 towards [1] - 22:2 tractor [1] - 15:15 tractor-trailer [1] -15:15 traffic [10] - 12:16, 13:4, 13:5, 14:25, 15:10, 16:9, 16:12, 17:20, 18:18, 22:10 trailer [1] - 15:15 tree [4] - 18:8, 18:9, 24:17, 25:15 trees [1] - 24:14 tried [2] - 10:17, 13:17 trouble [1] - 18:21 truck [2] - 14:2, 21:21 truck-turning [1] -21:21 trucks [7] - 13:24, 15:13, 15:21, 18:12, 18:15, 21:18, 25:21 true [2] - 7:23, 8:10 truly [1] - 22:21 try [3] - 11:7, 16:11, 19:24 trying [4] - 9:21, 10:5, 10:8, 10:16 turn [5] - 13:20, 14:2, 25:21, 27:15, 27:17 turned [1] - 24:6 turning [1] - 21:21 two [8] - 11:11, 11:14, 19:11, 21:18, 23:12, 24:2, 26:8,

today [5] - 6:16,

6:19, 18:19

U

typically [1] - 24:19

type [1] - 16:8

26:19

unappealing [1] -21:3 uncontrolled [1] -19:6 underneath [1] -12:2 understood [1] -8:10 undesirability [1] -22:1 unless [2] - 19:21, 23:16

unreasonable [2] -23:24, 25:24 unsafe [1] - 19:5 up [17] - 6:21, 9:17, 10:11, 10:21, 11:2, 12:5, 12:7, 12:17, 12:25, 15:5, 15:22, 16:22, 17:6, 18:15, 20:8, 23:2, 23:6 up-to-date [1] - 9:17

V

vacated [1] - 14:4 variance [13] - 5:15, 6:18, 11:8, 17:10, 19:3, 19:20, 20:13, 23:15, 23:21, 25:24, 26:3, 27:3, 28:5 vast [1] - 21:10 violates [1] - 4:8 violation [6] - 5:3, 6:15, 6:19, 6:20, 7:3, 7:10 virtually [3] - 9:25, 10:22, 12:4 visibility [1] - 12:21 visible [1] - 23:8 visual [1] - 23:4 vote [1] - 28:13

W

WALCH [28] - 4:4,

4:17, 4:21, 5:2, 5:7,

5:21, 7:18, 8:9, 8:14,

19:12, 19:15, 20:19,

20:25, 22:25, 23:18,

23:20, 24:13, 26:2,

26:21, 27:10, 27:22,

Walch [1] - 3:4

9:7, 11:11, 19:8,

27:25, 28:11, 28:17, 28:20 walkway [1] - 10:3 welcoming [1] - 10:2 west [1] - 15:20 WHEREOF [1] -29:10 whole [1] - 21:23 wide [5] - 10:1, 16:8, 20:22, 21:25, 22:3 wife [1] - 27:16 wishes [1] - 19:19 WITNESS [1] - 29:10 wonder [1] - 12:25 wonderful [1] - 17:23 wondering [2] -15:4, 15:11 WOOLDRIDGE [2] -

5:20, 14:12 Wooldridge [2] -3:11, 5:19 works [1] - 24:9 worse [1] - 17:14 worst [1] - 24:7

Υ

yard [1] - 4:16 year [1] - 23:11 years [3] - 13:16, 14:9, 23:1

Z

zoning [1] - 7:22 **ZONING** [2] - 1:3, 2:1 Zoning [3] - 2:16, 4:1, 5:24